CARROLL COUNTY LOCAL MANAGEMENT BOARD MEETING MINUTES

MARCH 2, 2022

AGENDA

- 1. Overview of Funding
- 2. Discuss Proposed Strategies
- 3. Vote by Carroll County Local Management Board Members
- 4. Review Next Steps
- 5. Close Meeting

ATTENDANCE

Amy Jagoda, Bonnae Meshulam, Brian Gass, Celene Steckel, Christina Ogle, Heather Powell, Jen Mettrick, Katie Speert, Javier Toro, Vicky Keller, Marie Liddick, Scott Yard, Corey Hardinger, Gabby Zelaya, Commissioner Dennis Frazier, Jessamine Duvall, Judi Nance, Kerri Virtz, Madeleine Ryan

ADDITIONAL FUNDING

Carroll County's FY23 allocation increased by \$85,109 for a new total of \$575,348.

- A. The use of this funding must relate to children, youth, and family service provision in Carroll County.
- B. While the additional \$85,109 has been allocated by the state to our FY23 budget, we must wait until the end of the legislative session for final confirmation. It is unknown whether the additional \$85,109 will remain in our FY24 budgets or beyond, so we are essentially planning a one-year strategy.
- C. Strategies for this funding should align with the FY23 Community Partnership Agreement (CPA) Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA), specifically the Eight Results for Child Well-Being (see pp. 4), the three themes/lenses (see pp. 5), and the program/strategy priorities (see pp. 12-15, section VII-A).
- D. When we discussed what to do with additional funding last year, the Board voted to fund something related to behavioral health/trauma

73% of our voting Board members responded:

- a. 64% Behavioral Health/Trauma
- b. 27% Employment/Labor Crisis
- c. 9% Housing Assistance
- d. 0% Transportation, Pandemic Recovery, ACEs/Learning Loss, Daycare/Head Start, Other

OVERVIEW OF PROPOSALS

- 1. Trauma Informed and Resilience Oriented Learning Community
- 2. BGCW Summer Programming Admission Fee Support
- 3. Additional transportation funding to existing programs
- 4. Additional therapists and psychiatrists to existing programs
- 5. Services for non-MA/privately insured/undocumented individuals
- 6. Case management for privately insured
- 7. Local respite activities
- 8. Behavioral Health Connector
- 9. IOP/PHP programs for adolescents and children
- 10. Pediatric (<13 YO) inpatient psychiatric unit at CHC

PROPOSALS WHICH ARE NOT FEASIBLE

#7: Local Respite Activities

#9: IOP/PHP for adolescents (outside Carroll Hospital Center)

#10: IOP/PHP programs for children

#11: Pediatric (<13 YO) inpatient psychiatric unit at CHC

While these are true needs in our community, the CCLMB CPA funding is insufficient for these purposes.

PROPOSALS WHICH ARE DUPLICATIVE

#8: Health Connector (CCHD, CHC, and Access Carroll; 988; GBRICS)

#6: Case Management for privately insured (Potomac)

While these are needs in our community, there are services or programs already in place.

POTENTIALLY FEASIBLE PROPOSALS

#5: SERVICES FOR NON-MA/PRIVATELY INSURED/ UNDOCUMENTED INDIVIDUALS

COST	TBD
DESCRIPTION	Cover services and potentially transportation costs. This has helped youth and families (especially those impacted by trauma and those who are undocumented/from another country) who would not have accessed services without it.
TARGET POP.	TBD
QUESTIONS & CONSIDERATIONS	 Who is the target population? Must tie it to the CPA NOFA. Would provider(s) bill the LMB? CCHD has a similar service already – but is it enough? Are providers the ones providing transportation? How will waiting lists impact the effectiveness of this? How will insurance impact the effectiveness of this?

#3: ADD TRANSPORTATION FUNDS TO EXISTING PROGRAMS

COST	TBD
DESCRIPTION	Transportation is a significant barrier for participants in the Connecting Youth and Wraparound Family Services programs.
TARGET POP.	Youth in Connecting Youth or Wraparound Family Services programs
QUESTIONS & CONSIDERATIONS	 Would not recommend buying a vehicle with State funding Can programs utilize/oversee additional transportation \$/svcs? Who will ensure the maintenance/care of the vehicles used? Will it be different enough from CTS? What would the appoint timing be? Is it free? For whom? What would the Performance Measures be? How will waiting lists impact the effectiveness of this? How would we spend any remaining funding? Is this meeting the identified need in the community?

#4: ADDITIONAL THERAPISTS/PSYCHIATRISTS IN EXISTING PROGRAMS

COST	TBD
DESCRIPTION	Existing programs may be able to utilize additional mental health therapists and psychiatrists
TARGET POP.	Youth in Connecting Youth or Suicide Intervention and Prevention Services programs Youth and families in Wraparound Family Services program
QUESTIONS & CONSIDERATIONS	 Is this meeting the identified need in the community?

#1: TRAUMA INFORMED & RESILIENCE ORIENTED LEARNING COMMUNITY

COST	At least \$35,000+ to \$65,000 (will increase in FY23)
DESCRIPTION	 National Council for Mental Wellbeing will help Carroll Co. to: Understand complexities of providing svces across continuum Build sustainable trauma-informed, resilience-oriented model Core Implementation Team = Executive leadership, HR rep, trauma-informed champions, 2+ people w/ lived experience Monthly mtgs, recruit participants, data collection
TARGET POP.	Youth and family service providers in Carroll County
QUESTIONS & CONSIDERATIONS	 When else could the LMB, or any Carroll County organization, offer such a widescale trauma-informed initiative for multiple agencies, most likely at little to no cost to them? State is emphasizing Collective Impact and Trauma-Informed Practices Which agencies are interested in participating? Which agencies are capable of participating? Who can be POC/who can sit on CIT? How would we spend any remaining funding?

#2: BGCW SUMMER PROGRAMMING ADMISSION FEE SUPPORT

COST	\$7,500 in previous years
DESCRIPTION	In previous years, this funding helped pay admission fees for children identified as needing financial assistance so they could attend the summer program. The funds were allocated to 100 youth who received \$75 toward a week of the summer program.
TARGET POP.	Children and youth in BGCW programming who are identified as needing financial assistance
QUESTIONS & CONSIDERATIONS	 Tying it to the CPA NOFA may be a challenge How else would we spend the remaining \$77,500?

NEXT STEPS

No formal vote was completed during the March 2, 2022 CCLMB meeting.

A.The CCLMB will meet again virtually on March 7, 2022, at 1 pm.

B.A formal vote by CCLMB Members will be held then.

C.Please look for the upcoming Agenda for this meeting.