

Organics Management and Carroll County Carroll County Environmental Advisory Council (EAC)

Presented:

Regina Cagle Irr, P.E. 15 June 2022 **Presented by:**



AGENDA

A | EA Profile and Background

B | Organics Opportunities in Carroll County

C | Organics Management Examples

- Residential
- Community
- Rural
- County-wide Processing
- CASE STUDY: Howard County Compost Facility

D | Organics Project Development Process



A | EA PROFILE

- Founded in 1973 to provide environmental solutions in support of evolving regulatory frameworks
- Headquartered in Hunt Valley, MD
- 26 offices nationwide
- Over 550 employee-owners
- 100% employee-owned
- Public Benefit Corporation (PBC)



A | OUR EXPERTS



Regina Cagle Irr, P.E.

Environmental Engineer and Project Manager

- 14 years of experience, 12 with EA
- Professional Engineer, Maryland
- Expertise includes environmental engineering and compliance services focusing on solid waste, organics and, zero waste projects.



Mark Gutberlet, P.E., BCEE

Mid-Atlantic Engineering Practice Leader

- 27 years of experience, all with EA
- Professional Engineer, Maryland
- Leads multi-disciplinary teams in solid waste management, stormwater management, site design, and remediation.

A | EA WORK IN CARROLL COUNTY

- Northern LFG design and monitoring support
- Bark Hill LF closure design
- Snowden Run pump station upgrade design
- Hampstead WWTP master planning
- Stormwater management facility retrofits
- Asset Management System (AMS) for W/WW systems



B | WHY DO ORGANICS MATTER?







B | WHERE DOES FOOD WASTE COME FROM?





B COUNTY ORGANICS OPPORTUNITIES

- County generates ~85k tons annually of residential MSW
- Estimated 20k tons annually food waste
- Over \$1M annually in food waste disposal costs
- Increased organics diversion from landfill could:
 - Reduces disposal cost
 - Extends landfill life
 - Reduces GHG emissions
 - Return nutrients to soil
 - Improve soil moisture retention
 - Revenue stream to offset costs



C | ORGANICS MANAGEMENT EXAMPLES

- Residential
- Community
- Decentralized
- Regional, Small
- Regional, Large



C | RESIDENTIAL

- Backyard composting
 - Leaf Pile
 - Grasscycle
 - Compost Bin
- Indoor Composting
 - Vermicompost
- Reduce Food Waste
- Create a culture of composting know how
- **Cost:** \$10-100



C | COMMUNITY

- Greenbelt, MD 100-unit housing development
- Bin centrally located at local park
- Rodent proof bin constructed by local resident
- Cooperatively managed by local community
- Participation by local grocery store
- Pilot testing before rollout in multiple locations
- Cost: <\$1,000



C | DECENTRALIZED

- Drop-off organics collection
- Food Recovery
- Cost: <\$1,000



C | REGIONAL, SMALL

- Curb side pickup of 35 gallon bins from 8,800 homes in pilot program
- Six covered piles on 0.67 ac facility utilizing aerated static pile processing equipment
- Facility pilot to prove concept for equipment, odor, contact water
- Air permitting for large grinders and Surface Water Use
- 75 days for processing and curing
- Processing of 4k tons annually
- Cost: \$1M





C | REGIONAL, LARGE

- Bi-weekly residential collection of food scraps at >14,500 households
- 1,300 tons food waste, 1,300 tons manure diverted annually
- Aerated Static Pile compost in concrete bunkers
- MDE General Compost Facility Permit
- Processing of 60k tons annually
- In 2021, \$375k revenue from finished compost
- Cost: \$13M



D | FACILITY DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

STEP 1 Assessment and Planning

- Understand your local organic waste resources
 - Gauge community interest and engagement for organics diversion
 - Identify organic waste sources (residential, commercial, industrial, other)
 - Identify organic waste types (food waste, agricultural waste, industrial food production waste, etc.)
 - Understand existing local organics processing capability (e.g. composting, anaerobic digestion, etc.)
 - Determine regulatory requirements
 and exemptions
 - Identify potential education and outreach opportunities
- Assess demand for finished products
 - Determine potential for use in local farms or agriculture
 - Determine potential for use in municipal projects or operations
- Conduct waste audit
- Set waste diversion goals

STEP 2 Implementation

- Small-scale
 - · Community and backyard composting
 - Distribute food scrap collection buckets to test residential response
 - Provide waste diversion resources and education
 - Incentivize office place composting programs
- Medium-scale
 - Pilot-scale programs Establish community drop off points in coordination with composting companies and/or local farmers
 - Develop food donation programs to keep edible food out of the waste stream
- Large-scale
 - Develop municipal or regional organics processing facilities
 - Capital planning, agency coordination, permitting, site design, asset management
 - Engage in waste disposal agreements with local organics processing facilities

STEP 3 Monitoring

- Develop benchmarks for sustainable waste collection
 - Organics processing facility troubleshooting
 - Data validation
- On-going education and training
- Review and revise local policies
- Resources and Certifications
 - · Institute for Local Self Reliance
 - SWEEP Standard
 - TRUE Zero Waste

D | PROGRAM PLANNING

- Assess Local Food Waste Resources
 - Gauge Community interest and engagement
 - Identify food waste sources and types
 - Existing local processing capability
- Assess Demand for finished product
 - Use in local or municipal projects
 - Retail and wholesale sales
- Conduct Waste Audit



D | PROCESS PLANNING



Collection

- By Municipalities
- Private Hauler Countywide
- Homeowner Drop-off



Processing

- County operated
- Vendor operated



Finished Product

- Laboratory Testing
- Certifications
- End Market

D | **REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT**

- The COMPOST Act (HR4443) introduced in the House last summer
- State-wide Food Waste Bans
 - Commercial food waste bans being developed state-wide in CA, CT, MD, MA, NJ, NY, RI
 - Overall food waste bans in VT, OR, WA
- Waste Diversion goals in cities pursuing elimination of food waste and zero waste goals





<u>Yard debris bans</u>: Arkansas, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, New Hampshire, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, West Virginia, Wisconsin

Yard debris bans with exemptions for landfills with gas collection systems: Florida, Georgia, Iowa, Nebraska

<u>Food scrap collection mandates or aggressive legislation for keeping out of landfills</u>: California, Connecticut, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Oregon, Vermont, Washington

D | FACILITY PLANNING

- Facility Siting
 - County-owned
 - Equipment
 - Operations
 - Utility access
 - Site access
 - Odor/Noise
 - Permit Requirements
- Facility Sizing
 - Technology
 - Pilot Scale to Full Scale



D | TECHNOLOGY REVIEW

Compost	Operational	Energy	Feedstock	Impacts to	Space			
Technology	Requirements	Requirements	Capability	Neighbors	Requirements	Scalability	Cost	Processing Time
Open windrows	Turning equipment, monitor temperature and moisture	Fuel for turning equipment	Large volumes of yard waste	Odor	High	Low cost and difficulty to add more windrows	Lower (turning equipment, manual labor)	3–12 months
Sheltered/covered windrows	Turning equipment, monitor temperature and moisture	Fuel for turning equipment	Large volumes of yard waste	Odor	High	Low cost and difficulty to add more windrows, cost of additional shelter	Low-moderate (turning equipment, manual labor, cover/enclosure)	3–12 months or less
Open ASPs	Monitor and deliver oxygen, monitor temperature and moisture, bulking agent for aeration	Energy requirement for air blowers	Large volumes of food and yard waste	Odor (best controlled using negative aeration)	Moderate (large piles require less land than windrows)	Low difficulty to add pile or increase pile size, but require cost of additional aeration equipment	Moderate (blowers, fans, pipes, sensors, bulking agent)	3–6 months
Covered ASPs	Monitor and deliver oxygen, monitor temperature and moisture, bulking agent for aeration	Energy requirement for air blowers	Large volumes of food and yard waste	Odor (best controlled using negative aeration)	Moderate (large piles require less land than windrows)	Low difficulty to add another pile, but require cost of additional aeratior equipment and new cover	Moderate-high (blowers, fans, pipes, sensors, nbulking agent, rcover/enclosure)	3–6 months or less
In-vessel composting	Turning/mixing equipment, monitor temperature, moisture, oxygen (electronically), technical expertise but less manual labor	Energy requirement for electronic monitoring and turning/mixing	Food and yard waste	Very little odor produced	Low-moderate (allow higher stacking, better use of space)	High cost to add vessel, could size vessel larger than necessary	Moderate-high (rotating drum, silo, concrete trench, agitated bed, turning/mixing equipment)	2–4 months
Anaerobic digestion	Likely require separation of yard and food waste and separate compost for yard trimmings, often requires composting after anaerobic digestion for final stabilization	May require heating but energy usage offset by biogas (electricity use typically 10–20% of biogas energy output)	Best suited for food waste and biosolids, yard waste can be resistant to digestion and have low biogas yield	Little odor, but potential biogas leakage and flare malfunction	Moderate (additional compost area may be required, less volume reduction than conventional compost)	High cost to add vessel, could size vessel larger than necessary	Moderate-high cost (vessel, biogas collection system and flares, liquid effluent handling) but potential energy savings with use of biogas	3–4 months

EA

D | FACILITY ECONOMICS

Facility Costs

- Phase I (Pilot) Capital Cost \$1M
- Phase II (Full Scale) Capital Cost \$13M
- Cost of processing food scraps \$33/ton

Avoided Costs

- Avoided landfill tipping fee \$45/ton
- Avoided yard waste tipping fee \$43/ton

Sales Strategy

- Retail Sales (shown)
- Wholesale Pricing for large purchasers



HoCo¢Gro Compost HoCo¢Gro Mulch HoCo¢Gro Topsoil \$23/yd³ \$21/yd³ \$25/yd³ Made Locally \$pread Locally

D | **RESOURCES**

- Funding
 - EPA Supporting Anaerobic Digestion in Communities
 - USDA Community Compost and Food Waste **Reduction Pilot Project**
- Technical
 - US Composting Council
 - Solid Waste Association of North America
 - Maryland Recycling Network
 - Northeast Recycling Council



Recycling Dividen	ds Program and Small Scale Initiatives: Appr	oved Expenses	
Beyond the Bin	Transportation and/or processing costs for recycling materials listed in	RDP CHARM	
Closing The Loop http://www.newsatedurests. genetycloses = convects some public	Not concerne Reprint Content Products from paint analysis, Inhib Grante music confirm recycled content. 9 (FCCS) technology comes for Monkolin, Name granter 9 (FCCS) and Foreign comes for Monkolin, Name Granter 9 (FCC) and Foreign comes for Monkolin, Name Granter 9 (FCC) and Section 2014 (FCC) and Foreign and To 9 (FCC) and Section 2014 (FCC) and Foreign and To 9 (FCC) and Section 2014 (FCC) and Foreign and To 9 (FCC) and Section 2014 (FCC) and Foreign and To 9 (FCC) and Section 2014 (FCC) and Foreign and To 9 (FCC) and Foreign and Foreign and Foreign and Foreign 9 (FCC) and Foreign and Foreign and Foreign and Foreign and Foreign and Paint and Foreign and Fo	d to \$2,000 per year. Site Amentica aola 36 Ji, Lubricants	
Equipment	Recycling carts/recycling bins Rol-Off containers, compactors, balers Equipment for collection/recycling of hand for recycle materials <u>FAC870746C133</u> Recycling Containers, Compost Bins and Rain Barrels		
Model Programming	Dedicated Enforcement Coordinator Recycling Coordinator salary Project-based temporary stalling Pre-approved site improvements to recycling drop-off locations Regional recycling consubants through PNE/3 Sold waste and recycling consubants through PNE/3 PATY program costs including bags, scikers, additional staff.	nte contracts, PRF61 & PRF67;	
Organics Diversion	Composit bins and screeners; kitchen scrap buckets Organics carts for curbide collection Organics containers to support drop-off program Organics collection Organics program development costs for a new diversion program		
Other	Other expenses as approved in writing by MassDEP in advance of the opposition party over \$50 particles and the opposition and t	expense. Includes recycling	
Outreach & Education	protesting costs over 500 per voir, composing equipment and nemy a Waste reduction and/or outreach and education materials, signs, mail Conferences and memberships (5750 per year) Training costs, waste audits, waste characterization studies, recycling Educational speaker fees (solid waste and recycling topics) Waste collection mobile applications and fees, newspaper ads, FSAs.	contres not bernneto en (mi ioc. ings (and applicable postage costs), market publications, etc.	
Public Spaces	Public space/outdoor event recycling containers	DEC Municipal Waste Reduction and P	Recycling (MWRR) Program – RC Grants Grants Gateway Application Guidelines
Reuse	Establishing and/or maintaining a municipally operated swap-shop 55,000 annually on municipally owned Things/Tool Libraries (51,000 a 54,000 annually for municipally located Repair Events (excluding food) Reuse events		NEW YORK Department of Environmental Environmental
Schools	School recycling and compositing: stipend for coordinating school-wide recycling/compositing program; dishwasher School compositing: collection and processing coots School recycling and compositing: equipment to support source reduct		Division of Materials Management Bureau of Solid Waste Management 625 Broadway, Albany, NY 12233-7260
Source Reduction	Specific source reduction purchases: reusable tray and silverware for or reusable water bottles, installed water filling stations		
Toxics Reduction	HHW collection event costs, drop-off or mobile pickup events. FAC110 School chemical cleanouts Environmentally Preferred Products FACBS, Categories 1-7	E.	New York State
Sector Three Recycling Dividends Progra	m - 5.10.21	E	wionnental Protection rund
			Municipal
		w	aste Reduction
		and I	Recycling Program
		Recycling Coordinati Grants G	on, Education, Planning and Promotion Projects Sateway Application Guidelines and Request for Applications
		NYS Grants Gatewo	ay Opportunity ID Name: DEC01-MWRC-2021
		Application Due	Date: October 29, 2021 3:00 PM ET
		Rev. August 2021	Page 1

CONCLUSION

- What are your goals to reduce organics to landfill?
- What scale or processing is right for you?
- What first steps can you take?
 - Identify budgetary costs and funding sources
 - Conduct a Waste Audit
 - Review Organics Processing Technologies
- Northern Landfill Resource Recovery Park
 - Review white papers from solicitations
 - Master planning





CONTACT US

 \searrow

REGINA CAGLE IRR, P.E. <u>RCAGLEIRR@EAEST.COM</u> | 410-527-2058



MARK GUTBERLET, P.E., BCEE
<u>MGUTBERLET@EAEST.COM</u> | 410-329-5135

WWW.EAEST.COM