

Debt Management

Capital Expenditures vs. Current Expenditures

Local government expenditures can be broadly categorized as either current or capital. Generally, current expenditures are related to ongoing operations or purchases that are relatively inexpensive or short-lived. Capital expenditures tend to be one-time, relatively high-cost, or long-lived assets. There is not a perfectly clear line separating current and capital expenditures, but current expenditures should be funded with current sources of revenue and it may be appropriate to fund capital expenditures with current revenue and/or debt financing. When debt financing is used, it is important that the useful life of the asset exceed the time necessary to pay for the asset. Carroll County's operating expenditures are entirely funded by current revenue. A mix of sources such as bonds, grants, and paygo is used to fund capital projects.

Paying for Capital Assets

There are two general approaches to paying for capital assets; paygo, or using current resources to pay as the expenditure occurs and debt financing, or paying over time as the asset is used. Paygo funding creates no long-term obligation but may require years of saving that delay addressing a need. Constraints on accumulating funds over time may make it difficult or impossible for a local government to save for a future project. Paygo funding places the entire burden on the existing taxpayer, even though a long-lived asset may benefit new taxpayers in future years. Debt financing commits the County to a long-term obligation and increases the cost of the funding, but allows timely filling of needs and spreads the cost of an asset over a larger number of taxpayers, who will benefit from its use. To benefit from the advantages of each of these approaches, Carroll County uses a mix of paygo and debt funding in the Capital Budget.

Bonds

For local governments, financing with long-term debt usually means issuing bonds. A bond is like a mortgage; it is written evidence of the issuer's obligation to repay a specified principal amount on a certain date (maturity date), together with interest at a stated rate, or according to a formula for determining that rate.

General obligation bonds are used when the benefits of a capital project are to be generally enjoyed by members of the community. Examples would be expenditures for law enforcement, fire protection, education, public health facilities, or roads and bridges. The payments are financed by the taxpayers of the issuing government because general obligation bonds are secured unconditionally by the full faith, credit, and taxing powers of the issuing government. These bonds typically carry high credit ratings with correspondingly low risk.

Serial bonds are a package of individual bonds with each bond potentially having a different maturity than the rest. Typically, a municipal serial bond issue has maturities ranging from one year to more than twenty years. General obligation bond issues are usually entirely in serial form.

Debt Retirement

As of June 30, 2014, 67.6% of long-term debt owed by the County will be retired within ten years and 41.3% will be retired in five years. New Consolidated Public Improvement Bonds issued in November 2014 have an aggregate principal amount of \$15.0 million in new bonds and \$58.5 million in refunded bonds.

Rating Agencies

There are currently three credit rating agencies used by Carroll County: Moody’s, Fitch, and Standard & Poor’s. These agencies tackle the difficult task of evaluating municipal bond issues in light of demographic, economic, financial, and debt factors. The result of the evaluation process is a “rating” that is assigned to the bond issue. Ratings generally measure the probability of the timely repayment of principal and interest on municipal bonds. The higher the credit rating assigned to the issue, the lower the interest rate the County will need to attract investors.

The following table displays the various rating categories used by the rating agencies:

| Moody’s ¹ | Standard & Poor ² | Fitch | Description |
|----------------------|------------------------------|-------|---|
| Aaa | AAA | AAA | Highest quality, extremely strong capacity to pay |
| Aa | AA | AA | High quality, very strong capacity to pay |
| A | A | A | Upper medium quality, strong capacity to pay |
| Baa | BBB | BBB | Medium quality, adequate capacity to pay |
| Ba | BB | BB | Questionable quality, low capacity to pay |

Credit evaluation, to some extent, is subjective which may result in different analysts looking at different data or assigning different weight to the same data. The rating agencies do not necessarily give the same credit ratings to the same bond issues.

Ratings are initially made before issuance and are continuously reviewed and amended as necessary to reflect change in the issuer’s credit position. According to the rating agencies, Carroll County demonstrates very strong credit worthiness.

¹ Relative ranking within a range may be designated by 1, 2, or 3.

² Relative ranking within a rating may be designated by a + or -.

Moody's has assigned Carroll County an **Aa1** rating, Standard and Poor's an **AAA** Rating, and Fitch an **AAA**. These high ratings allow Carroll County to pay lower interest rates on capital projects that are financed with long-term debt issues. The County's goal is to maintain or improve our current bond ratings in order to minimize borrowing costs.

Sale of Bonds

Bonds are sold to investors through the services of an underwriter. Underwriters buy the entire bond issue from the issuer and then resell the individual bonds to investors. Since they assume the responsibility of distributing the bonds, they risk having to sell the bonds at a price below the purchase price and thus realize a loss.

The financial advisor helps the issuer design the bond issue in terms of maturity dates, maturity amounts, and calls provisions; prepares the official statement; selects an appropriate time to mark the issue; and comply with legal requirements.

Carroll County historically has used a competitive bid process to sell its bonds. This means that at a specified date and time, bids are accepted from various underwriters. The underwriter submitting the lowest bid (interest rate) is selected to purchase the bonds. The underwriter then, within a few days of purchasing the bonds, sells the bonds to various investors.

Debt Affordability

Carroll County does not have a legal debt limit. The County uses a debt affordability model to evaluate the county's ability to support debt. The model establishes guidelines for the amount of debt the County can initiate each year, and projects the effects of that financing through six years of the CIP.

Debt affordability measures a number of criteria such as total debt to assessable base, and debt service to General Fund revenue, and compares the projected ratios to guideline ratios. The model takes into account potential changes in revenue and interest. The model distinguishes between direct debt, debt to be paid with general fund revenue, and indirect debt which is debt that is backed by the government, but with an associated revenue stream separate from the general fund.

Schedule of Debt Service Requirements on Direct County Debt

The following table sets forth the schedule of debt service requirements for the County's direct general obligation bonded debt, State of Maryland Loans, Promissory Notes, Capital Leases, and Enterprise Fund bonded debt, projected as of the year ended June 30, 2015

Schedule of Debt Service Requirements (1)

| Fiscal Years Ending June 30 | G.O. Bonds(2) | | Watershed Bonds | | Notes, Capital Leases, General Obligation and Other Debt | | | | Total General Fund | | | Enterprise Funds | | | Grand Total Debt |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|---|------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| | Principal | Interest | Principal | Interest | Principal | Interest | Principal | Interest | Principal | Interest | Total Debt Service | Principal | Interest | Total | Service (1) |
| | 2016 | \$27,911,073 | \$10,988,415 | 50,792 | 23,885 | 210,379 | 52,922 | 0 | 1,728,795 | \$28,172,244 | 12,794,016 | \$40,966,260 | 2,068,037 | 738,832 | 2,806,869 |
| 2017 | 26,930,894 | 9,998,710 | 52,608 | 22,069 | 219,350 | 43,951 | 0 | 1,728,795 | \$27,202,852 | 11,793,525 | \$38,996,377 | 2,050,288 | 655,781 | 2,706,069 | \$41,702,445 |
| 2018 | 25,412,987 | 8,943,844 | 54,489 | 20,188 | 228,704 | 34,597 | 0 | 1,728,795 | \$25,696,180 | 10,727,424 | \$36,423,604 | 1,965,547 | 562,861 | 2,528,407 | \$38,952,012 |
| 2019 | 23,857,295 | 7,903,661 | 56,437 | 18,240 | 238,456 | 24,845 | 1,201,212 | 1,728,795 | \$25,353,400 | 9,675,540 | \$35,028,941 | 1,911,882 | 470,830 | 2,382,712 | \$37,411,653 |
| 2020 | 22,112,298 | 6,937,020 | 58,455 | 16,222 | 248,625 | 14,676 | 0 | 1,656,722 | \$22,419,378 | 8,624,640 | \$31,044,018 | 1,787,826 | 386,782 | 2,174,608 | \$33,218,626 |
| 2021 | 19,027,884 | 6,030,319 | 60,546 | 14,132 | 193,402 | 4,074 | 0 | 1,656,722 | \$19,281,832 | 7,705,247 | \$26,987,078 | 1,495,516 | 306,617 | 1,802,133 | \$28,789,211 |
| 2022 | 15,453,169 | 5,230,339 | 62,710 | 11,967 | 0 | 0 | 246,000 | 1,656,722 | \$15,761,879 | 6,899,028 | \$22,660,907 | 1,436,831 | 242,034 | 1,678,864 | \$24,339,771 |
| 2023 | 14,573,017 | 4,529,615 | 45,198 | 9,724 | 0 | 0 | 680,930 | 1,625,277 | \$15,299,145 | 6,164,616 | \$21,463,761 | 1,326,983 | 179,089 | 1,506,073 | \$22,969,834 |
| 2024 | 13,799,697 | 3,869,131 | 33,755 | 8,094 | 0 | 0 | 1,006,624 | 1,604,963 | \$14,840,076 | 5,482,187 | \$20,322,263 | 700,303 | 134,268 | 834,571 | \$21,156,834 |
| 2025 | 11,856,485 | 3,289,506 | 30,776 | 7,021 | 0 | 0 | 2,179,934 | 1,494,996 | \$14,067,195 | 4,791,523 | \$18,858,718 | 513,515 | 82,973 | 596,488 | \$19,455,206 |
| 2026 | 12,207,352 | 2,804,458 | 23,986 | 5,739 | 0 | 0 | 1,346,000 | 1,426,771 | \$13,577,338 | 4,236,968 | \$17,814,306 | 537,648 | 60,918 | 598,566 | \$18,412,871 |
| 2027 | 12,550,207 | 2,334,556 | 24,861 | 4,864 | 0 | 0 | 2,584,000 | 1,379,884 | \$15,159,068 | 3,719,304 | \$18,878,372 | 559,793 | 41,873 | 601,665 | \$19,480,037 |
| 2028 | 12,907,898 | 1,830,664 | 25,769 | 3,956 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,242,904 | \$12,933,667 | 3,077,524 | \$16,011,190 | 582,102 | 21,910 | 604,012 | \$16,615,202 |
| 2029 | 13,279,351 | 1,299,037 | 26,709 | 3,016 | 0 | 0 | 107,290 | 1,242,904 | \$13,413,350 | 2,544,957 | \$15,958,307 | 605,649 | 1,150 | 606,799 | \$16,565,106 |
| 2030 | 9,482,615 | 808,683 | 27,686 | 2,042 | 0 | 0 | 4,662,430 | 1,161,776 | \$14,172,731 | 1,972,501 | \$16,145,231 | 127,385 | 3,708 | 131,094 | \$16,276,325 |
| 2031 | 5,667,229 | 477,608 | 28,264 | 1,031 | 0 | 0 | 13,115,500 | 788,795 | \$18,810,993 | 1,267,434 | \$20,078,427 | 2,771 | 68 | 2,839 | \$20,081,266 |
| 2032 | 4,390,000 | 284,675 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 205,835 | \$4,390,000 | 490,510 | \$4,880,510 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$4,880,510 |
| 2033 | 3,080,000 | 150,263 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 445,320 | 194,145 | \$3,525,320 | 344,408 | \$3,869,728 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$3,869,728 |
| 2034 | 2,010,000 | 59,738 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,475,344 | 182,456 | \$5,485,344 | 242,193 | \$5,727,537 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$5,727,537 |
| 2035 | 750,000 | 11,250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$750,000 | 11,250 | \$761,250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$761,250 |
| | <u>\$277,259,448</u> | <u>\$77,781,490</u> | <u>\$663,041</u> | <u>\$172,190</u> | <u>\$1,338,916</u> | <u>\$175,065</u> | <u>\$31,050,584</u> | <u>\$24,436,05</u> | <u>\$310,311,989</u> | <u>\$102,564,795</u> | <u>\$412,876,784</u> | <u>\$17,672,078</u> | <u>\$3,889,692</u> | <u>\$21,561,770</u> | <u>\$434,438,554</u> |

(1) Totals may not add due to rounding.

(2) Loans paid from revenues or by repayments by others:

(a) Promissory Notes \$0

(b) Capital Lease Agreements \$1,338,916

Source: Carroll County Department of the Comptroller.

**Projected Statement of Direct and Enterprise Fund Bonded
Debt Issued and Outstanding
As of June 30, 2015 (1)**

| Direct Bonded Debt | Principal Date of Issue | Issued | Outstanding |
|--|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| Volunteer Fire Dept. Project Bonds..... | 11/01/03 | 2,100,000 | 660,000 |
| Consolidated Public Improvement Refunding Bonds..... | 03/11/04 | 32,090,354 | 0 |
| Consolidated Public Improvements..... | 07/27/04 | 21,995,000 | 0 |
| Taxable Pension Funding Bonds..... | 07/27/04 | 12,800,000 | 0 |
| Volunteer Fire Dept Project Bonds..... | 09/22/04 | 2,065,000 | 829,402 |
| Consolidated Public Improvement..... | 12/01/05 | 31,799,320 | 2,160,989 |
| Volunteer Fire Dept Project Bonds..... | 12/01/05 | 2,900,000 | 1,145,000 |
| Consolidated Public Improvement..... | 10/10/06 | 20,260,000 | 2,696,757 |
| Consolidated Public Improvement Refunding Bonds..... | 01/09/07 | 23,165,983 | 11,938,239 |
| Consolidated Public Improvement & Refunding..... | 11/13/07 | 27,100,000 | 14,399,637 |
| Consolidated Public Improvement..... | 11/13/08 | 72,088,000 | 15,554,627 |
| Consolidated Public Improvement & Refunding-Series A..... | 11/12/09 | 30,931,089 | 12,776,647 |
| Consolidated Public Improvement Series B..... | 11/12/09 | 33,577,761 | 33,577,761 |
| Consolidated Public Improvement Refunding Series A..... | 10/21/10 | 12,480,329 | 4,608,198 |
| Consolidated Public Improvement Refunding Fire Company Series B..... | 10/21/10 | 2,210,000 | 855,000 |
| Consolidated Public Improvement Series D..... | 10/21/10 | 19,649,128 | 17,773,030 |
| Consolidated Public Improvements and Refunding..... | 11/10/11 | 28,623,957 | 25,988,134 |
| Consolidated Public Improvement and Refunding..... | 11/08/12 | 37,680,345 | 35,530,345 |
| Consolidated Public Improvement..... | 11/14/13 | 26,000,000 | 24,665,000 |
| Taxable Pension Refunding Bonds..... | 12/23/13 | 4,524,000 | 4,524,000 |
| Consolidated Public Improvement and Refunding..... | 11/13/14 | 67,576,682 | 67,576,682 |
| Installment Purchase Agreements: | | | |
| Installment Purchase Agreements Issued Fiscal Year 2002..... | 7/1/01-6/30/02 | 396,000 | 396,000 |
| Installment Purchase Agreements Issued Fiscal Year 2003..... | 7/1/02-6/30/03 | 530,930 | 530,930 |
| Installment Purchase Agreements Issued Fiscal Year 2004..... | 7/1/03-6/30/04 | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| Installment Purchase Agreements Issued Fiscal Year 2005..... | 7/1/04-6/30/05 | 2,179,934 | 2,179,934 |
| Installment Purchase Agreements Issued Fiscal Year 2006..... | 7/1/05-6/30/06 | 1,346,000 | 1,346,000 |
| Installment Purchase Agreements Issued Fiscal Year 2007..... | 7/1/06-6/30/07 | 2,584,000 | 2,584,000 |
| Installment Purchase Agreements Issued Fiscal Year 2009..... | 7/1/08-6/30/09 | 2,215,126 | 2,215,126 |
| Installment Purchase Agreements Issued Fiscal Year 2010..... | 7/1/09-6/30/10 | 4,662,430 | 4,662,430 |
| Installment Purchase Agreements Issued Fiscal Year 2011..... | 7/1/10-6/30/11 | 13,115,500 | 13,115,500 |
| Installment Purchase Agreements Issued Fiscal Year 2013..... | 7/1/12-6/30/13 | 445,320 | 445,320 |
| Installment Purchase Agreements Issued Fiscal Year 2014..... | 7/1/13-6/30/14 | 3,475,344 | 3,475,344 |
| Farmers Home Administration: | | | |
| Watershed Bond — 1972..... | 06/01/72 | 769,700 | 210,640 |
| Watershed Bond — 1974..... | 07/01/74 | 253,000 | 97,135 |
| Watershed Bond — 1979..... | 09/02/80 | <u>678,800</u> | <u>355,266</u> |
| | | <u>\$563,269,032</u> | <u>\$308,973,073</u> |
| Enterprise Fund Bonded Debt | | | |
| Consolidated Public Improvement Refunding Bonds..... | 03/11/04 | 2,166,411 | 0 |
| Consolidated Public Improvements..... | 07/27/04 | 378,474 | 0 |
| Consolidated Public Improvements..... | 12/01/05 | 302,525 | 20,139 |
| Consolidated Public Improvements..... | 10/10/06 | 200,000 | 28,884 |
| Consolidated Public Improvement Refunding Bonds..... | 01/09/07 | 212,504 | 109,511 |
| Consolidated Public Improvement & Refunding..... | 11/13/07 | 9,401,000 | 5,008,570 |
| Consolidated Public Improvements..... | 11/13/08 | 7,616,000 | 1,563,731 |
| Consolidated Public Improvement & Refunding Series A..... | 11/12/09 | 745,461 | 408,163 |
| Consolidated Public Improvement Series B..... | 11/12/09 | 1,072,239 | 1,072,239 |
| Consolidated Public Improvement Refunding Series A..... | 10/21/10 | 6,371 | 2,352 |
| Consolidated Public Improvement D..... | 10/21/10 | 13,742 | 12,430 |
| Consolidated Public Improvements and Refunding..... | 11/10/11 | 484,429 | 418,539 |
| Consolidated Public Improvement and Refunding..... | 11/08/12 | 198,549 | 198,549 |
| Consolidated Public Improvement and Refunding..... | 11/13/14 | 5,446,058 | 5,446,058 |
| Water Quality Loan — MD Dept. of the Environment..... | 03/22/00 | 532,680 | 188,125 |
| Consolidated Public Improvement Refunding Bonds (Solid Waste) | 03/11/04 | 1,004,270 | 0 |
| Solid Waste..... | 07/27/04 | 2,449,026 | 0 |
| Solid Waste..... | 01/09/07 | 345,658 | 178,130 |
| Solid Waste..... | 11/13/07 | 604,000 | 321,793 |
| Solid Waste..... | 11/13/08 | 296,000 | 86,642 |
| Solid Waste Series A..... | 11/12/09 | 203,450 | 5,190 |
| Solid Waste..... | 11/10/11 | 789,648 | 789,648 |
| Solid Waste..... | 11/13/14 | 406,860 | 406,860 |
| Septage..... | 10/09/06 | 200,000 | 24,359 |
| Septage..... | 11/08/12 | 62,391 | 62,391 |
| Airport..... | 11/13/01 | 2,200,000 | 770,000 |

| | | | |
|---|----------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Consolidated Public Improvement Refunding Bonds (Airport) | 03/11/04 | 278,964 | 0 |
| Airport | 07/27/04 | 407,500 | 0 |
| Airport | 12/01/05 | 58,155 | 3,871 |
| Airport | 01/09/07 | 240,854 | 124,121 |
| Airport Series A | 10/21/10 | 93,300 | 34,450 |
| Airport Series D | 10/21/10 | 27,130 | 24,540 |
| Airport | 11/10/11 | 286,966 | 258,680 |
| Airport | 11/08/12 | 18,715 | 18,715 |
| Airport | 11/13/14 | 85,400 | 85,400 |
| | | <u>\$ 38,834,730</u> | <u>\$ 17,672,078</u> |
| | | <u>\$563,269,032</u> | <u>\$326,645,150</u> |

(1) This table reflects indebtedness of the County exclusive of the following obligations:

- (a) Promissory Notes \$0
 - (b) Capital Lease Agreements \$1,338,916
- (2) This subtotal reflects the direct bonded indebtedness of the County exclusive of those items in Note (1) of this table and Enterprise Fund Bonded Debt and is exclusive of any related bond premiums/discounts or other unamortized charges.

Source: Carroll County Department of the Comptroller.

The following tables set forth the County's long-term debt per capita and ratios of debt to assessed value for the six most recent fiscal years ended June 30 and a projection for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015.

**Projected County Debt
Exclusive of Enterprise Fund Debt (1)**

| | <u>Bonded Debt</u> | <u>Estimated Population</u> | <u>Assessed Value</u> | <u>Bonded Debt Per Capita</u> | <u>Bonded Debt to Assessed Value</u> |
|-----------|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|
| 2015..... | \$308,973,073 | 171,094 | \$18,588,705,000 | \$1,805.87 | 1.66% |
| 2014..... | 322,300,607 | 170,643 | 18,514,343,538 | 1,888.74 | 1.74 |
| 2013..... | 319,294,954 | 169,519 | 18,789,765,921 | 1,883.53 | 1.70 |
| 2012..... | 323,601,170 | 168,570 | 19,813,576,019 | 1,919.68 | 1.63 |
| 2011..... | 331,907,961 | 167,929 | 20,895,165,478 | 1,976.47 | 1.58 |
| 2010..... | 319,629,519 | 167,134 | 22,066,168,625 | 1,912.41 | 1.45 |

**Projected County Debt
Inclusive of Enterprise Fund Debt (1)**

| | <u>Bonded Debt (2)</u> | <u>Estimated Population</u> | <u>Assessed Value</u> | <u>Bonded Debt Per Capita</u> | <u>Bonded Debt to Assessed Value</u> |
|-----------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|
| 2015..... | \$326,645,150 | 171,094 | \$18,588,705,000 | \$1,907.40 | 1.76% |
| 2014..... | 342,092,417 | 170,643 | 18,514,343,538 | 2,004.72 | 1.85 |
| 2013..... | 341,226,838 | 169,519 | 18,789,765,921 | 2,012.91 | 1.81 |
| 2012..... | 347,723,989 | 168,570 | 19,813,576,019 | 2,062.79 | 1.75 |
| 2011..... | 359,464,291 | 167,929 | 20,895,165,478 | 2,140.57 | 1.72 |
| 2010..... | 349,771,337 | 167,134 | 22,066,168,625 | 2,092.75 | 1.59 |

(1) These tables reflect indebtedness of the County exclusive of MD Industrial Land Act and MD Industrial Commercial Redevelopment Fund Loans, Promissory Notes, Capital Lease Agreements, and any related bond premiums/discounts or other unamortized charges..

(2) This chart includes, among other things, the bonded indebtedness originally incurred by the Carroll County Sanitary Commission, which indebtedness is to be paid first from various charges which the County is authorized to levy together with State and federal monies received, but which indebtedness is ultimately secured by the full faith and credit of the County.

THE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CARROLL COUNTY
Westminster, Maryland

Computation of the Projected Legal Debt Margin
As of June 30, 2015

| | | |
|--|-------------------|------------------|
| Net assessed value- Real Property | \$ 17,967,373,000 | |
| Debt limit - 6% of net total assessed value (1) | | \$ 1,078,042,380 |
| Assessed Value-Personal Property | 516,876,000 | |
| Debt limit- 15% of Net Assessed Value | | 77,531,400 |
| Total Debt Limit | | 1,155,573,780 |
| Amount of debt applicable to debt limit: | | |
| Total Bonded Debt | \$ 326,457,025 | |
| Less- Agricultural Preservation Program Self Supporting Debt | 31,050,583 | |
| Less- Fire Company Loans- Self Supporting Debt | 7,869,230 | |
| Less - Bureau of Utilities bonds and loans payable | 14,289,163 | |
| Less - Septage bonds payable | 86,750 | |
| Total amount of debt applicable to debt limit | | 273,161,299 |
| Legal debt margin | | \$ 882,412,481 |

Note: (1) Recommended limit - Carroll County does not have a legal debt limit.
Source: Carroll County Department of the Comptroller.

Schedule of Legal Debt Margin
2006-2015

| Fiscal Year | Assessed Value | Legal Debt Limitation | Legal Borrowing Limitation | Debt Subject to Limitation | Legal Debt Margin | Ratio of Debt Subject to Limitation To Legal Borrowing Limitation |
|-------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|---|
| 2006 | 13,470,143,079 | 6.0% | 852,874,621 | 226,319,103 | 626,555,518 | 26.54% |
| 2007 | 15,441,306,101 | 6%/15% | 974,208,067 | 211,725,319 | 762,482,748 | 21.73% |
| 2008 | 17,902,568,902 | 6%/15% | 1,124,225,213 | 214,237,099 | 909,988,114 | 19.06% |
| 2009 | 20,409,412,280 | 6%/15% | 1,274,735,894 | 268,496,244 | 1,006,239,650 | 21.06% |
| 2010 | 22,066,168,625 | 6%/15% | 1,373,814,980 | 303,156,906 | 1,070,658,074 | 22.07% |
| 2011 | 20,895,165,478 | 6%/15% | 1,302,726,361 | 301,960,750 | 1,000,765,611 | 23.18% |
| 2012 | 19,813,576,019 | 6%/15% | 1,248,709,194 | 292,937,714 | 955,771,480 | 23.46% |
| 2013 | 18,789,765,921 | 6%/15% | 1,175,305,137 | 287,113,093 | 888,192,044 | 24.43% |
| 2014 | 18,514,343,538 | 6%/15% | 1,158,193,261 | 286,486,025 | 871,707,236 | 24.74% |
| 2015 | 18,484,249,000 | 6%/15% | 1,155,573,780 | 273,161,299 | 882,412,481 | 23.64% |