# CONCURRENCY MANAGEMENT REPORT FISCAL YEAR 2023

(July 1, 2022 - June 30, 2023)



Carroll County Department of Planning and Land Management Bureau of Development Review

OVERVIEW	3
CHAPTER ONE: DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY	7
CHAPTER TWO: BUILDING PERMIT INFORMATION	11
CHAPTER THREE: SCHOOLS	12
CHAPTER FOUR: ROADS	15
CHAPTER FIVE: FIRE AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES	16
CHAPTER SIX: POLICE SERVICES	21
CHAPTER SEVEN: WATER AND SEWER SERVICE	23
CHAPTER EIGHT: AVAILABLE THRESHOLD CAPACITY RECOMMENDATIONS	27
RECUMINENDATIONS	41

### **OVERVIEW**

The stated purpose of Adequate Public Facilities and Concurrency Management (Chapter 156 of the Carroll County Code of Public Local Laws and Ordinances) is to ensure that proposed or planned residential growth proceeds at a rate that will not unduly strain public facilities, including schools, roads, public water and sewer facilities, and police, fire, and emergency medical services. The Code established minimum adequacy standards or thresholds for those facilities and services and mandates that the cumulative impacts of proposed or planned residential growth within the incorporated municipalities and the County be considered in testing for adequacy under these standards. Concurrency management was initially adopted in 1998 with numerous changes occurring in 2004.

Concurrency testing does not apply to projects in any of the municipalities, off-conveyances, commercial and industrial projects, minor residential subdivisions, and attached/detached accessory dwelling units. Although these lots are not tested, they are included as part of the development pipeline. Retirement homes that are located within a public water and a public sewer service area do not require adequacy approval as to schools but shall meet all other requirements of the Chapter.

The ordinance defines Available Threshold Capacity (ATC) as "The amount of capacity available for future development under this chapter determined by balancing the county's ability to pay for infrastructure, schools, and police, fire, and emergency medical services with building permit reservations and phasing of projects. Capacity of a facility is determined by the county or the incorporated municipality, if applicable." When a facility or service becomes inadequate in accordance with the standards, the Board of County Commissioners (the Board) can adopt specific geographical area restrictions on the issuance of building permits.

A development project's ATC is tentatively determined when a developer submits a concept plan. This tentative determination expires six months after issuance unless a preliminary plan is submitted. The ATC for a development project is officially reviewed prior to presentation of the preliminary plan to the Carroll County Planning and Zoning Commission (the Commission). If all public facilities and services are adequate during the current Community Investment Plan (CIP), the Commission may approve the plan to proceed to the final plan stage and issue a recordation schedule and building permit reservations. When a development plan is presented to the Commission regarding the adequacy of public facilities and services for projects subject to this chapter, the Commission shall consider the cumulative impacts of the development pipeline in both the county and in the incorporated municipalities.

Where ATC does not exist or is projected to be inadequate at the preliminary plan stage and no relief facility or service is planned in the six-year CIP that addresses the inadequacy, the plan shall be denied by the Commission and assigned a place in a queue and re-tested annually. If a relief facility or service is planned in the six-year CIP to address the inadequacy or if the public facility or service is approaching inadequacy during the current CIP, the Commission may conditionally approve the plan to proceed to the final plan stage and issue a tentative recordation schedule (phasing of permits can occur) and tentative building permit reservations, which are subject to modification at the final plan stage. If the public facility or service is considered inadequate during the current CIP, the developer may propose mitigation to alleviate the inadequacy; however, the Board would determine the acceptability of the mitigation.

Chapter 156 limits the issuances of building permits as follows:

- E) Building permit limits:
  - (1) Except as provided in division (E)(2) below, the county shall not issue more than 25 building permits per subdivision or 25 residential dwelling units or equivalent dwelling units, as applicable for the project, per fiscal year. The building permits are nontransferable from one lot to another and shall not exceed 25 per subdivision regardless of multiple or successive ownership;

- (2) For multi-unit residential site plans, the county shall not issue a building permit or permits for more than 50 residential dwelling units or equivalent dwelling units, as applicable for the project, per fiscal year;
- (3) A developer may not circumvent the provisions of this chapter by submitting piecemeal applications for approvals for any parcel of land subdivided after March 5, 1998; and
- (4) This division (E) is in addition to and not in lieu of any other limit imposed by law, regulation, or PWA.
- (5) Waiver. A written request for a waiver may be submitted to the Department requesting relief from § 156.04(E)(2). The request must be supported by compelling, credible data and evidence associated with special conditions or exceptional circumstances peculiar to the project. The issuance of a waiver will be available only for retirement home developments which shall not exceed 150 dwelling units during a three consecutive fiscal year period.

Additionally, a specific code requirement in Chapter 155, Development and Subdivision of Land, specifically restricts the number of recorded lots per development parcel to 25 lots per fiscal year. The testing of projects in accordance with Chapter 156 and the limitation on recordation of lots do phase and manage the impact of residential development located in the unincorporated areas of Carroll County.

In 2012, the State of Maryland passed Senate Bill 236 (The Sustainable Growth and Agricultural Preservation Act of 2012) which prohibits the Carroll County Planning Commission from approving any new residential preliminary plan greater than seven lots for residential subdivisions on private septic systems. Residential developments of eight lots or greater will now occur solely in public sewer areas which are located in either the municipalities or in the Freedom service area.

Residential developments located in the municipalities are subject to their own Planning Commission review and approval process. Each municipality independently defines levels of adequacy and establishes phasing requirements which may differ with County standards.

As required by the Code (§156.07B), an annual report is to be prepared for the Board and the Commission to assist in the CIP planning process, to recommend possible building permit caps for areas of the County where facilities or services are not adequate to serve proposed residential development, and to identify issues regarding implementation of concurrency management. The following is a summary of this report:

#### **Residential Development Activity**

- 2391 residential units were issued a building permit for the six-year reporting period of FY 2018 2023.
- 28 new residential lots were recorded in FY 2023; 2 of those were in the municipalities.

#### **Actions by the Planning Commission**

- The Commission approved 1 residential site plans in FY 2023 (Planned Commercial Center).
- The Commission approved 12 new residential subdivisions comprising 40 lots in FY 2023.

#### **Available Capacity of Public Facilities and Services**

#### **Schools**

#### **Elementary**

- Freedom Elementary School is projected to become inadequate in FY 2024.
- Sandymount Elementary School is projected to become inadequate in FY 2025.
- Linton Springs Elementary School is projected to become inadequate in FY 2027.
- There are 5 elementary schools projected to be approaching inadequate in the six-year reporting period of FY 2024 2029.

#### Middle

- Sykesville Middle is projected to be approaching inadequate in FY 2027 and inadequate in FY 2028.
- All other middle schools are rated adequate through FY 2029.

## High

All high schools are rated adequate through FY 2029.

#### Fire & EMS

- One fire station is rated inadequate by the late/failed response criteria;
  - Taneytown
- The following fire stations are rated approaching inadequate as defined by the late/failed response criteria or average response time measure;
  - Hampstead, Taneytown, Pleasant Valley, Lineboro, Union Bridge, Harney, and Gamber.
- The following emergency medical services are rated approaching inadequate as defined by the late/failed response criteria or average response time measure;
  - Mount Airy, Manchester, Taneytown, Pleasant Valley, and Lineboro.
- Improvements are planned in the six-year CIP for 5 bridges that are inadequate for certain fire and emergency response apparatus.

#### Recommendations

• Included in the Carroll County Public Schools FY25 Capital Budget request to the Board of County Commissioners are funds for engineering and design of building additions at Freedom Elementary School and Sykesville Middle School, both of which are, or will be, rated inadequate in the 6-year CIP period. Construction funding is allocated in FY26 and FY27.

If the Board of Education (BOE) request for CIP funding for school additions is approved by the Board of County Commissioners, residential development restricted by these school inadequacies may receive final plan approval by the Commission per §156.05(B).

§156.05(B) states, "No project may be approved by the Commission if a public facility or service is inadequate or projected to be inadequate during the current CIP, unless a relief facility is planned to address the inadequacy."

Whereas the Board of Education CIP projects are anticipated to address the inadequacy calculations of the subject schools, the criteria of the code will have been met for final approval by the Planning and Zoning Commission. The Commission's approval is conditional, anticipating alleviation of the inadequacy.

§156.06(E)(4)(b) states that the Planning and Zoning Commission may grant a Conditional Approval under the following circumstances; "If a public facility or service is inadequate and a relief facility is planned in the six-year CIP to address the inadequacy...the Planning Commission may approve the plan subject to a phasing plan for recordation or may defer the project and place the plan in a queue to be retested on an annual basis."

A planned relief facility renders projects that are in inadequate facility scenarios as approvable by the Commission. However, the code places provisions on the timing of plat recordation.

The second part of §156.05(B) states, "No residential plat may be recorded or final residential site plan approved until a relief facility planned to address the inadequacy in the current CIP has construction underway and completion is anticipated within six months or the developer provides mitigation acceptable to the county or the Board of County Commissioners has determined that exceptional circumstances exist."

Regarding the Planning Commission's final plan approval; a phasing plan for recordation or deferral of the project and placement in a queue for retesting is necessitated.

The Department recommends that, once the BOE's CIP request is adopted, the Planning and Zoning Commission conditionally approve impacted plans subject to being placed in a queue and retested for schools as data becomes available. If an impacted project is re-tested for schools and is no longer inadequate, it may be released from the queue and recorded. Placing projects in a queue and retesting provides a systematic approach to release from the queue. If the inadequacy persists, the code addresses the permissible timing for recordation of lots as "construction underway and completion is anticipated within six months."

Residential development projects testing as inadequate as it relates to Freedom Elementary and Sykesville Middle School will not be permitted to record their land record changes until it is anticipated that construction of the school improvements will be completed within six months. In the alternate, an impacted developer may petition the Board of County Commissioners with a request for determination of exceptional circumstance or propose mitigation acceptable to the County.

The Department recommends that the adequacy data and retesting, as well as the construction schedule at Freedom Elementary and Sykesville Middle, be tracked by the Department and development plans in the queue receive notification of release from the queue to proceed with recordation as applicable.

• That the Department of Fire & Emergency Medical Services, in conjunction with CCVESA, continue to discuss changes to the fire and medical emergency service threshold standards.

# CHAPTER ONE DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY

To provide analysis of the cumulative impact of residential units on the management and capacity of facilities, the monitoring and tracking of residential development includes projects in the development review process for both the incorporated and unincorporated areas. Although the County tracks development projects in the incorporated areas, specific plan approval and adequate facility review is administered by the applicable municipality.

Division of property typically involves either the off-conveyance procedure or the subdivision process. Unlike the subdivision process which requires the approval of the Commission, the off-conveyance procedure is administered through a staff review and approval process.

Off-conveyances are the first two divisions (lots created) from a parcel that existed as of April 23, 1963. Since only properties that existed as of April 23, 1963 may be considered for off-conveyances, the number of lots created through this procedure will eventually be exhausted. Once approved, off-conveyances must be recorded within six months or the approval expires. Lots created through the off-conveyance procedure are not subject to the concurrency testing requirement but are tracked in the concurrency database. The following table shows the number of off-conveyances approved in the past six fiscal years. When compared to the initial adopted Code six-year reporting period of FY 1999 to FY 2004 (482 approved off-conveyance lots), the number of approved off-conveyance lots has shown a significant decrease. The locations of the off-conveyance lots are spread throughout the County.

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	6 YEAR TOTAL
TOTAL	8	5	5	6	6	8	38

Off-conveyance lots Approved FY 2018 - FY 2023

#### **Planning Commission Approvals**

Minor subdivisions are the first 3 lots taken from a parent parcel after any eligible off-conveyances have occurred. Although they are not subject to concurrency testing, minor subdivisions are included in the concurrency database for tracking purposes. The minor subdivision process allows for the preliminary and final plans to be approved simultaneously.

Major subdivisions are lots created from the parent parcel after the off-conveyances and minor subdivision lots occur. Once the preliminary plan is approved by the Commission, the final plan review process occurs with plat recordation subsequently occurring.

Multi-family residential developments located on a single property are depicted on a site plan and require approval by the Commission.

The following tables provide a listing of residential subdivision and site plans that were approved by the Commission.

Page 8

FY 2023 Minor Residential Subdivision Plans (Preliminary & Final)

PROJECT NAME	FILE NUMBER	NUMBER OF NEW LOTS	PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVAL DATE	COMMISSIONER DISTRICT
Schalk Ridge 2	M-21-0031	1	8/29/22	1
Walter's Acres	M-19-0024	3	10/11/22	5
Jeff's Domain	M-22-0043	1	12/13/22	1
Smith Acres	M-21-0082	1	12/13/22	1
Mechalske Overlook	M-22-0056	1	12/22/22	4
Alfred E. Barnes	M-22-0029	1	2/21/23	4
Gerstmyer Property	M-20-0017	2	2/27/23	5
Hobson Acres	M-22-0091	1	4/18/23	1
Huber Property	M-20-0054	2	5/16/23	2
TOTAL NUMBER OF LOTS		13		

# FY 2023 Preliminary Approved Major Residential Subdivision Plans (subject to Concurrency)

PROJECT NAME	FILE NUMBER	NUMBER OF NEW LOTS	PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVAL DATE	COMMISSIONER DISTRICT
Braddock Estates, Resub. Sec. 3	P-19-0051	3	5/3/23	4
Byron Hills	P-20-0071	4	8/16/22	5
Crystal Springs Sec. 2	P-20-0050	1	3/21/23	1
TOTAL NUMBER OF LOTS		8		

# FY 2023 Final Approved Major Subdivision Plans Approved (subject to Concurrency)

PROJECT NAME	FILE NUMBER	NUMBER OF NEW LOTS	PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVAL DATE	COMMISSIONER DISTRICT
Mineral Hill	FX-20-0001	14	3/21/23	5
Braddock Estates, Resub. Sec. 3	FX-22-0002	3	5/3/23	4
The Fields at Pheasant Run	FX-17-0001	7	5/16/23	3
TOTAL NUMBER OF LOTS		27		

NUMBER OF	NUMBER OF RESIDENTIAL LOTS APPROVED BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION						
	PRELIMINARY	FINAL					
FY 23	21	40					
FY 22	30	45					
FY 21	31	22					
FY 20	41	20					
FY 19	16	15					
FY 18	13	13					

Once the final plan is approved by the Commission, the record plat may be recorded and application for building permits can begin.

FY 2023 County Residential Projects Recorded

NEW RESIDENTIAL LOTS RECORDED									
	County Municipality TOTAL								
FY 23	26	2	28						
FY 22	80	107	187						
FY 21	52	230	282						
FY 20	18	40	58						
FY 19	4	136	140						
FY 18	71	447	518						

FY 2023 Residential Site Plans Approved by the Commission

PROJECT NAME	FILE NUMBER	NUMBER OF UNITS	PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING DATE	COMMISSIONER DISTRICT
Long Reach Farm Lot 20	S-20-0010	34	05/17/2022 BZA 08/31/2022	4

RESIDENTIAL UNITS APPROVED BY PLANNING COMMISSION								
FY 23	34 second-story units	Long Reach Farm Lot 20						
FY 22	129 independent living units	Nells Acres, Sec. 2						
FY 21	54 independent living units	44 Liberty Rd., Retirement Community						
FY 20	60 bed	Carroll Hospital Nursing Facility						
FY 19	-	-						
FY 18	109 independent living units	Adams Paradise						

#### **Discussion**

- At the time the Concurrency Ordinance was enacted (1998), the number of off-conveyance lots being created was nearly 100 per year. Carroll County Code limits off-conveyances to property that existed as of April 23, 1963. Implementation of that regulation will eventually lead to an elimination of off-conveyances. As previously shown, these numbers have decreased significantly and somewhat stabilized and no longer have the same impact on growth as in 1998.
- In accordance with SB236, the Planning Commission is not allowed to approve any subdivisions greater than seven lots per parcel, unless it is connected to public sewer.
- In the unincorporated area of the County, 251 new residential lots were recorded for the period FY 18-23. In the same period, 155 residential lots received Commission approval. Previously approved plans, that had received extensions, comprised most of the difference.
- Only 2 municipal lots were recorded in FY 23. As municipalities regulate their own growth, they are not subject to the same project phasing Code requirements, which could impact County residential development plan approval if the threshold standard is rated approaching inadequate.
- The approximate total number of unrecorded lots in the development pipeline plus the number of recorded subdivision lots (after the adoption of the Concurrency Management Ordinance 3/5/98) available for building permits (includes municipalities) is 1915. Previous Concurrency Report numbers verify that the number of lots has remained relatively consistent prior to and including 2018. Data was not analyzed in 2019-2021. Data collection methods were updated in 2022 to utilize existing technology information-capturing systems.

2023	1915
2022	1914
2021	-
2020	-
2019	-
2018	2300

• In multi-unit residential developments, where living units do not constitute complete dwelling units, the units shall be calculated as Equivalent Dwelling Units for the purposes of Concurrency Management.

**DWELLING UNIT.** A single unit providing complete independent living facilities for one or more persons, including permanent provisions for living, sleeping, eating, cooking, and sanitation. **EQUIVALENT DWELLING UNIT.** For multi-unit residential development which does not contain complete dwelling units, the number of dwelling units shall be calculated as follows:

- (1) For the first eight occupants, one dwelling unit; and
- (2) For every three occupants after the first eight occupants, one additional dwelling unit.

# CHAPTER TWO BUILDING PERMIT INFORMATION

Once the development review process is complete, a building permit application can occur for construction of the new residential unit. When the building project is complete, a use and occupancy permit is issued indicating the unit is ready for occupancy. Building permit activity indicates the current status of residential growth, whereas, developments in the review process identify planned growth. Although recorded lots are entitled to a building permit, the result of numerous external factors, i.e. mortgage rates, land prices, job security, etc., influence a buyer's decision as to when to purchase a lot or construct a new residence.

The Code (§156.04B) states that the County intends that the number of residential development building permit approvals issued in the County shall not exceed an average of 6,000 during any six-year period. For purposes of counting the 6,000 permits, all building permits issued county-wide, including those issued in municipalities and those issued for projects that are not subject to this chapter, shall be included. In order to meet this requirement, the County may establish a building permit cap prescribing the number of residential building permits to be issued in the County for projects applicable to the chapter.

The following chart tabulates the number of new residential units issued in both the unincorporated and incorporated areas of Carroll County (does not include building permits for replacement of structures). In comparison, there were over 7,000 residential permits issued between FY 1998 and FY 2003.

#### Number of New Residential Units Issued

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	6-YR TOTAL
Unincorporated	178	216	156	167	146	125	988
Municipality	119	216	196	425	352	95	1403
<b>County Total</b>	297	432	352	592	498	220	2391

# CHAPTER THREE SCHOOLS

#### **Threshold:** Defined in § 156.05D(1)(a)(2)(a)(3)(a)

Adequate: An elementary or high school serving a proposed project is adequate, for the purposes of this chapter, when current or projected enrollment equals or is less than 109% of the state-rated capacity. A middle school serving a proposed project is adequate, for the purposes of this chapter, when current or projected enrollment equals or is less than 109% of the functional capacity.

Approaching inadequate: An elementary or high school serving a proposed project is approaching inadequate, for the purposes of this chapter, when current or projected enrollment is 110% to 119% of the state-rated capacity. A middle school serving a proposed project is approaching inadequate, for the purposes of this chapter, when current or projected enrollment is 110% to 119% of the functional capacity.

Inadequate: An elementary or high school serving a proposed project is inadequate, for the purposes of this chapter, when current or projected enrollment is equal to or greater than 120% of the state-rated capacity. A middle school serving a proposed project is inadequate, for the purposes of this chapter, when current or projected enrollment is equal to or greater than 120% of the functional capacity.

#### **Background**

Elementary and high school threshold standards utilize state rated capacity. Middle school threshold is measured utilizing functional rated capacity. The key difference between functional capacity and state-rated capacity lies with whether all classrooms are counted or only core curriculum teaching stations are counted. Carroll County Board of Education uses functional capacity as the measurement for middle school facilities because it accounts for the team approach that is the foundation of the middle school philosophy. The team approach allows teachers of core curriculum subjects to be organized into blocks and, within each block, share a joint planning period. The non-core curriculum teaching stations, such as gym and media center, do not count towards the measurement of functional capacity. Throughout a school day, as various blocks of students rotate through the non-core teaching stations, one block of core curriculum classrooms and core subject teachers are free, allowing a joint planning period. When functional capacity is used, a middle school has capacity for fewer students than it would under state-rated capacity.

The Carroll County Public Schools Facilities Management Division annually prepares enrollment figures and enrollment projections for a ten-year period, the first six years of which are included in the County's CIP. These projections are utilized to rate capacity in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 156. Bureau of Development Review staff routinely provide project updates that are in the development pipeline to the Carroll County Public Schools Facility Planner for use in developing the projections. Also, the Bureau transmits one set of plans to the school system for all new residential developments that are submitted to the County.

The following tables show an adjusted enrollment for FY 2023 and projected enrollments for FY 2024 through FY 2029.

# **Facility Capacity and Projections**

Freedom Elementary School is projected to become inadequate in FY 2024.

Sandymount Elementary School is projected to become inadequate in FY 2025.

Linton Springs Elementary School is projected to become inadequate in FY 2027.

There are 5 elementary schools projected to be approaching inadequate in the six-year reporting period of FY 2024 - 2029.

Elementary Schools FY 2024 - 2029 Enrollment as a Percentage of State-Rated Capacity

ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	CAPACITY		RATED			ADJUSTED						PROJECTED				
	K - 5	Pre K	Spec Ed	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029						
Carrolltowne	548	20	20	104.4%	107.1%	107.5%	107.7%	111.7%	112.2%	111.7%						
Cranberry Station	550	20	0	97.9%	98.9%	99.1%	101.9%	89.9%	89.4%	92.6%						
Ebb Valley	548	20	0	95.8%	98.1%	100.7%	101.6%	104.0%	105.1%	105.5%						
Eldersburg	548	20	0	82.6%	87.7%	91.5%	91.7%	94.5%	96.7%	95.1%						
Elmer Wolfe	526	20	0	87.9%	92.3%	91.8%	90.8%	89.6%	91.8%	93.2%						
Freedom	525	0	0	117.5%	121.7 %	121.1%	124.2%	125.3%	124.8%	124.6%						
Friendship Valley	548	40	0	99.4%	100.2%	101.5%	104.2%	94.2%	93.5%	91.8%						
Hampstead	434	20	60	79.2%	78.8%	75.9%	79.0%	78.8%	79.4%	80.5%						
Linton Springs	685	20	0	105.0%	110.1%	116.9%	119.1%	121.3%	120.0%	120.9%						
Manchester	662	20	0	98.7%	99.7%	102.3%	105.0%	108.1%	106.5%	106.7%						
Mechanicsville	571	20	0	83.6%	86.5%	88.5%	90.7%	93.1%	92.7%	91.7%						
Mount Airy (3-5)	552	0	0	86.8%	80.8%	83.0%	78.8%	81.7%	77.7%	80.1%						
Parr's Ridge (K-2)	590	20	0	69.8%	72.5%	69.7%	72.6%	72.6%	73.9%	73.9%						
Piney Ridge	548	20	0	101.8%	107.0%	108.8%	112.5%	110.7%	113.6%	113.6%						
Robert Moton	456	20	60	76.3%	74.6%	73.7%	73.3%	75.0%	75.2%	76.5%						
Runnymede	617	20	20	90.1%	90.9%	92.4%	91.8%	94.2%	92.1%	94.7%						
Sandymount	504	20	0	106.9%	113.5%	121.4%	124.6%	112.1%	116.5%	113.8%						
Spring Garden	525	20	0	80.7%	82.2%	84.2%	87.2%	89.4%	91.0%	89.4%						
Taneytown	504	20	0	89.9%	92.0%	92.4%	92.9%	85.5%	86.6%	82.3%						
Westminster	548	20	0	96.1%	96.5%	98.2%	98.6%	95.6%	95.6%	100.5%						
Wm. Winchester	525	20	0	101.4%	104.4%	107.0%	108.6%	111.3%	111.3%	108.5%						
Winfield	594	20	70	99.1%	101.3%	105.4%	107.6%	109.9%	108.2%	109.2%						

Source: Carroll County Public Schools (enrollment projections 2023-2024 to 2032-33, April 26, 2023)

To correspond with the adequacy threshold for middle schools, functional capacity rather than state-rated capacity for each facility is identified.

Sykesville Middle School is projected to be approaching inadequate in FY 2027 and inadequate in FY 2028.

Middle Schools FY 2024 - 2029 Enrollment as a Percentage of Functional Capacity

MIDDLE	FUNCITIONAL CAPACITY		ADJUSTED	PRO	PROJECTED FUNCTIONAL CAPACITY				
SCHOOL	6 - 8	Spec Ed	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
Mount Airy	750	20	93.6%	98.4%	100.0%	105.2%	100.1%	106.6%	104.0%
North Carroll	750	20	80.8%	80.0%	82.7%	83.8%	82.2%	87.7%	88.7%
Northwest	750	20	82.7%	85.6%	89.9%	94.8%	97.1%	97.7%	96.1%
Oklahoma Road	775	20	93.3%	95.1%	103.4%	105.3%	105.4%	106.5%	108.2%
Shiloh	675	50	83.3%	86.3%	87.4%	90.9%	94.2%	95.6%	102.6%
Sykesville	700	20	103.9%	106.4%	101.5%	110.3%	118.3%	128.1%	131.4%
Westminster East	750	60	97.1%	97.9%	96.3%	96.3%	98.4%	101.6%	100.1%
Westminster West	1025	30	82.9%	85.8%	87.1%	87.4%	88.3%	92.2%	92.3%

Source: Carroll County Public Schools (enrollment projections 2023-2024 to 2032-33, April 26, 2023

All high schools are projected to be adequate through FY 2028.

High Schools FY 2024 - 2029 Enrollment as a Percentage of State-Rated Capacity

HIGH SCHOOL	STATE RATED CAPACITY		ADJUSTED	PROJECTED					
India school	9 - 12	Spec Ed	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
Century	1352	10	82.2%	81.8%	82.7%	79.1%	80.0%	80.2%	81.3%
Francis Scott Key	1224	30	75.3%	76.0%	75.4%	73.0%	72.7%	77.0%	81.4%
Liberty	1118	20	87.1%	89.2%	88.1%	89.9%	92.1%	95.3%	101.1%
Manchester Valley	1373	10	98.3%	98.5%	95.6%	93.3%	93.3%	93.0%	95.5%
South Carroll	1309	30	68.8%	72.5%	70.2%	71.5%	75.0%	75.2%	77.7%
Westminster	1798	40	82.5%	82.0%	82.8%	82.9%	81.9%	83.0%	84.3%
Winters Mill	1309	30	83.3%	83.5%	85.1%	86.3%	86.3%	88.3%	87.8%

Source: Carroll County Public Schools (enrollment projections 2023-2024 to 2032-33, April 26, 2023

# CHAPTER FOUR ROADS

## Threshold: Defined in $\S 156.05D(1)(b)(2)(b)(3)(b)$

Adequate: Projected level of service for road segments and intersections within the traffic impact study area for the proposed project is rated Level of Service C or better, according to the Department of Public Works or by the State of Maryland, as applicable.

Approaching inadequate: Projected level of service for road segments and intersections within the traffic impact study area for the proposed project is rated Level of Service D, according to the Department of Public Works or by the State of Maryland, as applicable.

Inadequate: Projected level of service for road segments and intersections within the traffic impact study area for the proposed project is Level of Service E or F, according to the Department of Public Works or by the State of Maryland, as applicable.

## **Administrative Procedures**

The Department of Public Works (DPW) Roads and Storm Drain Design Manual (5.1.1) states a traffic impact study shall be required for any proposed development that will generate 50 or more peak hour trips. For developments generating less than 25 peak hour trips, a traffic study will not be required. For developments generating between 25 and 50 peak hour trips, based on site specific concerns, a traffic impact study may be required. If a traffic study is required, the study area is determined by applicable County, Maryland State Highway, and municipal staff.

The traffic impact study determines the level of service (LOS) that exists on any affected road and road intersection and the LOS that would result if the proposed development were built. The County reviews the results of the traffic impact study against the threshold to determine adequacy. As part of the Commission's approval process, the development will then be responsible to address any deficiencies identified in the traffic study. This may require off-site mitigation.

Projects located in municipalities are not subject to a traffic study unless required by the municipality. The County works with the municipality in defining the scope, but any off-site County road mitigation will require the municipality to ensure County road improvements are included in the plan approval.

The Department of Public Works is currently working on updating the Roads and Storm Drain Design Manual. Any changes to the traffic study requirements will occur as part of that review.

# CHAPTER FIVE FIRE AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES

## **Threshold:** Defined in § 156.05D(1)(c)(2)(c)(3)(c)

#### Adequate:

- 1. Total number of late and no responses is less than 15%, and the total number of no responses is less than 4% measured on a 24-month basis, updated monthly;
- 2. Using an average over the previous 24 months, response time is eight minutes or less from time of dispatch to on-scene arrival with adequate apparatus and personnel; and
- 3. All bridges and roads for the most direct route or acceptable secondary route to the project site are adequate to support fire and emergency response apparatus.

#### Approaching inadequate:

- 1. Either the total number of late and no responses equals or exceeds 15%, or the total number of no responses equals or exceeds 4% measured on a 24-month basis, updated monthly, but not both; or
- 2. Using an average over the previous 24 months, response time is between eight and ten minutes from time of dispatch to on-scene arrival with adequate apparatus and personnel.

#### Inadequate:

- 1. Total number of late and no responses equals or exceeds 15%, and the total number of no responses equals or exceeds 4% measured on a 24-month basis, updated monthly;
- 2. Using an average over the previous 24 months, response time exceeds ten minutes from time of dispatch to on-scene arrival with adequate apparatus and personnel; or
- 3. A bridge or road is inadequate to support fire and emergency response apparatus for the most direct route and a bridge or road is inadequate to support fire and emergency response apparatus for the acceptable secondary route to the project site.

#### **Administrative Procedures**

ATC certificates for fire and emergency medical services (EMS) are distributed to the Department of Public Safety for completion and signatures. The statistical data used to evaluate the first and second threshold determining criterion is maintained by the Department of Public Safety (911 Center) and used to determine adequacy with the adopted threshold standards. Data is maintained separately for each of the County's fourteen fire districts. This data is further subcategorized as either a fire or EMS incident. Separate calculations are made for both Fire and Emergency Medical Services, allowing each to be evaluated independently. Testing for the third criterion is achieved by identifying the primary and secondary routes that the first-due fire company would travel from their station to the location of the proposed development during an emergency response. All bridges along these routes are identified and the Department of Public Safety compares with the list of inadequate bridges (as determined jointly between the Departments of Public Safety and Public Works).

#### **Late and No Response Criteria**

The first criterion is the percentage of calls that result in either a late or no response. As previously noted, incidents are classified as either fire or EMS in nature. A dispatched unit is given five minutes to respond, once it has been alerted. If the due unit has not responded by the time the allotted five minutes has elapsed, the next due unit is alerted. If the first-due unit responds after the initial five minutes has elapsed, the incident is categorized as a 'late response' for the first-due unit. If the first-due unit never responds, the incident is categorized as a 'no response'.

The Code states that if no response is received from any applicable agency within 30 days of the date the Department distributes the ATC form, the ATC shall be presumed adequate for the particular facility or service for which no response was received.

First-Due Late / No Response by Station – Fire & EMS

FIRE	FY 20 3/1/21 – 2 % Firs	2/28/23	FY 20 4/1/21 - 3 % First	3/31/23	FY 2023 5/1/21 - 4/30/23 % First Due		FY 2023 6/1/21 - 5/31/23 % First Due			
Station	Late/Fail	Fail	Late/Fail	Fail	Late/Fail	Fail	Late/Fail	Fail	Late/Fail	Fail
Mount Airy	4.26%	0%	5.19%	0%	5.26%	0%	5.15%	0%	5.15%	0%
Hampstead	14.95%	0%	15.23%	0%	15.17%	0.89%	15.31%	0.90%	16.94%	1.69%
Westminster	4.72%	2.25%	4.79%	2.28%	4.86%	2.65%	4.84%	2.64%	4.84%	2.63%
Manchester	14.78%	3.48%	14.03%	3.51%	13.67%	3.42%	14.52%	3.42%	14.87%	4.13%
Taneytown	46.36%	14.54%	46.49%	14.91%	46.55%	14.65%	45.68%	14.65%	44.06%	14.40%
Pleasant	11.49%	2.30%	11.49%	2.30%	12.22%	2.22%	12.08%	2.20%	12.08%	2.20%
Lineboro	24.00%	0%	26.08%	0%	19.23%	0%	23.07%	0%	22.22%	0%
Union Bridge	10.25%	2.56%	10.25%	2.56%	10.00%	2.50%	9.52%	2.38%	9.30%	2.32%
Reese	2.04%	1.02%	2.08%	1.04%	2.00%	1.00%	1.94%	0.97%	2.06%	1.03%
New Windsor	5.26%	0%	7.02%	0%	5.66%	0%	5.77%	0%	6.00%	0%
Harney	16.66%	0%	16.66%	0%	16.66%	0%	16.66%	0%	16.66%	0%
Sykesville	14.41%	3.72%	13.55%	3.74%	13.48%	3.72%	12.96%	4.17%	13.76%	5.05%
Gamber	11.26%	2.82%	11.59%	2.90%	13.23%	2.94%	12.85%	2.86%	12.00%	2.67%
Winfield	7.69%	1.71%	9.17%	2.50%	8.40%	2.52%	9.57%	2.61%	9.57%	2.61%

EMS	FY 20 3/1/21 – 2 % First	2/28/23	FY 2023 4/1/21 - 3/31/23 % First Due		FY 2023 5/1/21- 4/30/23 % First Due		FY 2023 6/1/21 - 5/31/23 % First Due		FY 2023 7/1/21– 6/30/23 % First Due	
Station	Late/Fail	Fail	Late/Fail	Fail	Late/Fail	Fail	Late/Fail	Fail	Late/Fail	Fail
Mount Airy	4.68%	4.21%	5.05%	4.66%	5.51%	5.13%	5.89%	5.48%	6.34%	5.83%
Hampstead	1.01%	0.96%	0.96%	0.91%	0.96%	0.91%	1.01%	0.96%	1.07%	0.96%
Westminster	0.85%	0.81%	0.85%	0.82%	0.88%	0.86%	0.92%	0.90%	0.91%	0.89%
Manchester	4.96%	4.85%	5.36%	5.24%	6.04%	5.92%	7.08%	6.97%	9.57%	9.44%
Taneytown	11.21%	9.62%	11.15%	9.44%	11.20%	9.45%	11.57%	9.80%	11.40%	9.70%
Pleasant Valley	1.19%	0.76%	1.20%	0.76%	1.19%	0.76%	0.97%	0.75%	0.95%	0.74%
Lineboro	4.59%	3.93%	4.92%	4.26%	4.97%	4.30%	5.74%	5.07%	5.35%	4.35%
Union Bridge	2.86%	2.47%	2.87%	2.51%	2.91%	2.55%	2.91%	2.56%	2.91%	2.56%
Reese	0.44%	0.25%	0.44%	0.25%	0.56%	0.37%	0.62%	0.37%	0.63%	0.38%
New Windsor	1.76%	1.32%	2.06%	1.62%	2.07%	1.62%	2.07%	1.62%	2.24%	1.79%
Harney	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Sykesville	1.48%	1.37%	1.64%	1.50%	1.70%	1.56%	1.80%	1.66%	1.78%	1.64%
Gamber	2.10%	2.10%	2.03%	2.03%	2.03%	2.03%	2.02%	2.02%	2.00%	2.00%
Winfield	1.23%	1.06%	1.35%	1.18%	1.34%	1.17%	1.34%	1.17%	1.51%	1.34%
Source: Carroll	County De <sub>l</sub>	partment	of Public S	afety						

#### **Average Response Time**

The second criterion is "Average Response Time". Similar to the late and no response percentages, the data and subsequent calculations for this criterion is also a function of the County's 911 Center.

Response time to a given incident is measured from the time the first-due unit is initially dispatched until on-scene arrival of adequate apparatus and personnel. An "Average Response Time" is determined monthly for each respective fire district, for both fire and EMS, using the data collected during the previous 24-month period.

Standards of adequacy are as follows:

Adequate: 8 minutes or less
Approaching Inadequate: 8 to 10 minutes
Inadequate: 10 minutes or more

If no response is received from any applicable agency within 30 days of the date the Department distributes the ATC form, the ATC shall be presumed adequate for the particular facility or service for which no response was received.

### **Average Response Time by Station – Fire**

FIRE Station	FY 2023 3/1/20 – 2/28/23 Average Response Time	FY 2023 4/1/20 - 3/31/23 Average Response Time	FY 2023 5/1/20 - 4/30/23 Average Response Time	FY 2023 6/1/20 - 5/31/23 Average Response Time	FY 2023 7/1/20 – 6/30/23 Average Response Time
Mt. Airy	7:01	7:12	7:11	7:13	7:14
Hampstead	8:14	8:13	8:13	8:24	8:28
Westminster	7:07	7:05	7:00	7:01	7:02
Manchester	7:27	7:27	7:25	7:31	7:33
Taneytown	9:29	9:28	9:32	9:31	9:31
Pleasant Valley	8:54	8:53	8:51	8:56	8:51
Lineboro	9:32	9:45	9:29	9:26	9:26
Union Bridge	8:25	8:32	8:21	8:24	8:28
Reese	7:55	7:53	7:56	8:06	8:01
New Windsor	8:01	7:59	7:50	7:41	7:37
Harney	7:32	7:32	7:32	7:35	7:35
Sykesville	7:20	7:25	7:26	7:26	7:27
Gamber	8:35	8:40	8:37	8:36	8:35
Winfield	7:51	7:54	7:49	7:48	7:50

#### **Average Response Time by Station – EMS**

EMS Station	FY 2023 3/1/21 – 2/28/23 Average Response Time	FY 2023 4/1/21 - 3/31/23 Average Response Time	FY 2023 5/1/21 - 4/30/23 Average Response Time	FY 2023 6/1/21 - 5/31/23 Average Response Time	FY 2023 7/1/21 – 6/30/23 Average Response Time
Mt. Airy	6:07	6:09	6:11	6:13	6:13
Hampstead	5:38	5:37	5:38	5:41	5:44
Westminster	6:08	6:08	6:09	6:11	6:12
Manchester	6:15	6:16	6:18	6:20	6:22
Taneytown	7:00	6:59	6:58	6:59	6:56
Pleasant Valley	9:36	9:35	9:39	9:42	9:39
Lineboro	8:03	8:04	8:06	8:10	8:08
Union Bridge	6:05	6:08	6:11	6:11	6:10
Reese	7:11	7:12	7:12	7:12	7:11
New Windsor	6:52	6:54	6:58	6:58	6:58
Harney					
Sykesville	6:32	6:33	6:34	6:34	6:35
Gamber	6:38	6:37	6:37	6:36	6:38
Winfield	7:38	7:40	7:41	7:43	7:42

## **Route of Travel**

The third criterion reflects the capacity of bridges and roads located along the primary and secondary route of travel between the respective fire station and the location of the proposed development. In the spring of 2006, the County commissioned an analysis of posted bridges to determine their adequacy relative to supporting fire and EMS apparatus. This analysis was based on information submitted by each individual fire company, which detailed the weight and axle characteristics of the various vehicles each department operated. To complete the analysis, a computer program was developed capable of modeling the structure type of each bridge, as well as both the axle loads and axle spacing of the various emergency apparatus. Using this program to model the stress and pressures exerted as a vehicle passes over a given bridge, inadequate structures were identified.

Bridges on state highways, with a few exceptions, are designed for all legal loads and are assumed to be adequate. The three exceptions, two on MD Route 86 and one on MD Route 832, have posted weight limits.

Bridges on county-maintained roads, with a few exceptions, are also designed for all legal loads. The County uses a consultant to perform annual/biennial inspections of bridges on county roads. The County has 12 structures with posted weight limits.

As part of the 2013 bridge inspection cycle, new structural load ratings were completed for all the county-maintained bridges. In addition to new ratings, a revised analysis of fire and EMS apparatus was conducted. The results of the analysis are noted in the chart below. Seven of the restricted bridges projects are included in the CIP. The Department of Public Works will monitor all bridges in cooperation with the Department of Public Safety.

Structure	<b>Affected VFD Units</b>	<b>Inspection Frequency</b>	Status
CL210 Harney Rd	N/A	Annual	N/A
CL240X McKinstrys Mill Rd.	N/A	Annual	Included in CIP
CL241 Pearre Rd	All VFD Vehicles	Annual	N/A
CL242X Hawks Hill Rd	N/A	Annual	Included in CIP
CL243 McKinstrys Mill Rd.	N/A	Annual	Included in CIP In design for replacement
CL261 Bear Run Rd.	N/A	Biennial	Repaired 2019 – LPS left in place
CL269 Babylon Rd.	Taneytown R5	Biennial + Monitor Trusses @ 1 year	Included in CIP, In design for replacement
CL286 Rinehart Rd.	Westminster T3	Annual	N/A
CL344 Old Kays Mill Rd.	Gamber ET133	Annual	Included in CIP
CL359 Carrollton Rd.	N/A	Annual	N/A
CL363 Stone Chapel Rd.	Westminster T3	Annual + Monitor Deck at 3 months	Conservative posting for SUV, in design for replacement
CL383 Upper Beckleysville Rd.	All VFD Vehicles	Biennial + Monitor Beams at 3 months	In design for replacement

# CHAPTER SIX POLICE SERVICES

#### **Threshold: Defined in § 156.05D(1)(d)(2)(d)(3)(d)**

Adequate: Services are adequate if the projected ratio of sworn law enforcement officers to population is 1.3:1,000. The ratio shall be calculated by counting all sworn officers with law enforcement responsibility in an incorporated municipality or within the County and by counting the total population within the incorporated municipalities and within the unincorporated County.

Approaching inadequate: Services are approaching inadequate if the projected ratio of sworn law enforcement officers to population is between 1.2-1.3:1,000.

Inadequate: Services are inadequate if the projected ratio of sworn law enforcement officers to population is anything less than 1.2:1,000.

### **Administrative Procedures**

The number of County wide sworn law enforcement officers is provided monthly by the Carroll County Sheriff's Department. Population estimates are provided by the Department of Planning. The monthly population is estimated by multiplying the number of use and occupancy permits issued since the last census by the average household size in the County and adding the result to the population in the most recent census. Law enforcement officer counts include sworn officers from the Sheriff's Office, Maryland State Police, and the various municipal police departments. Sworn positions include personnel currently in academy or training.

Projected number of sworn law enforcement officers for the Sheriff's Office and municipal police departments includes the number of funded positions in the annual budget of the appropriate jurisdiction. The staffing level at the Maryland State Police is subject in part to the number of officers from a statewide police force assigned to the Westminster barracks at any given time.

Future threshold capacity is calculated by adding the projected population from developments in the pipeline to the latest population estimate and sheriff's deputy positions planned for each year in the current adopted Operating Plan to the latest total of funded positions. The Operating Plan is a companion document to the CIP that is adopted annually by the Commissioners as part of the budgeting process.

# **Calculations**

The following chart indicates the number of authorized positions (sworn and vacant) positions at the beginning of the 2023 fiscal year and at the end of the fiscal year.

	Ju	ly 1, 2022	2	Ju	ne 30, 202	23
	Sworn/ In Training	Vacant	Total	Sworn/ In Training	Vacant	Total
Carroll County Sheriff's Office	123	9	132	125	7	132
Maryland State Police	38	7	45	29	10	39
Mount Airy Police	9	2	11	7	4	11
Westminster Police	43	3	46	36	9	45
Hampstead Police	10	0	10	10	3	13
Manchester Police	6	1	7	6	1	7
Sykesville Police	10	0	10	9	1	10
Taneytown Police	10	4	14	10	5	15
TOTAL	249	26	275	232	40	272

SWORN POSITIONS JULY 1, 2022	COUNTY POPULATION	RATIO	SWORN POSITIONS JUNE 30, 2023	COUNTY POPULATION	RATIO
244	175,635	1.39	225	176,406	1.28

Including developments in the pipeline, the projected ratio for FY 2023 remains above the 1.3 threshold.

# CHAPTER SEVEN WATER AND SEWER SERVICE

## **Threshold: Defined in § 156.05D(1)(e)(2)(e)(3)(e)**

- 1. Adequate: Water and sewer services. For water services, the facility is adequate if the maximum day demand is less than 85% of the total system production capacity. For sewer services, the facility is adequate if the projected annual average daily flow is less than 85% of the wastewater treatment facility permitted capacity.
- 2. Approaching indaequate: For water services, the facility is approaching inadequate if the projected maximum day demand is equal to or greater than 85% but less than 95% of the total system production capacity. For sewer services, the facility is approaching inadequate if the projected annual average daily flow is greater than or equal to 85% but less than 95% of the wastewater treatment facility permitted capacity.
- 3. Inadequate: For water services, the facility is inadequate if the projected maximum day demand is equal to or greater than 95% of the total system production capacity. For sewer services, the facility is inadequate if the projected annual average daily flow is greater than or equal to 95% of the wastewater treatment facility permitted capacity.

#### **Administrative procedures**

Carroll County operates several public utility facilities: Hampstead Sewer System, Freedom District Sewer System, Freedom District Water System, Bark Hill Water System, Pleasant Valley Water System, and Pleasant Valley Sewer Service. The ATC certificates for water and/or sewer service in the Freedom area and sewer service in the Hampstead area are completed and signed by the Bureau of Utilities in the Department of Public Works. For projects in unincorporated areas of the County that are planned to connect to a municipally-owned water or sewer system, the ATC certificates are completed and signed by the municipality.

The adequacy thresholds for water and sewer are based on measurement of flows, but they are handled differently. The adequacy threshold for water requires that the County compare the projected maximum day demand for water with the total production capacity of the system (TSPC). The TSPC is the amount of water flow the system can provide. It is typically measured in million gallons per day (mgd). The maximum day demand is calculated by applying a factor of 1.75 to the projected annual average day demand which consists of three components:

- the existing demand for water of all users hooked up to the system;
- the total projected demand of any developments that have received preliminary or final approval from the Commission but have not yet hooked up to the system; and
- the projected demand for water that the proposed development currently undergoing testing for adequacy would generate.

For the projections, the County uses the Maryland Department of Environment (MDE) standard which is to multiply the number of proposed residential units by 250 gallons per day (gpd). The resulting number, expressed in gpd, represents the amount of water flow that the proposed development would draw from the system if it were connected, i.e. the projected demand of the development. As proposed developments go through the approval process, the Bureau of Utilities is responsible for monitoring the status of all projects that would connect to County water, including those not subject to Chapter 156, and the impact the projected demand would have on capacity in the water system.

The adequacy threshold for sewer requires that the County compare the projected annual average daily flow of wastewater with the wastewater treatment facility permitted capacity. The wastewater treatment facility is permitted and monitored by MDE and its capacity is expressed in mgd. For the purpose of testing the projected adequacy of sewer service capacity, the projected average daily flow consists of three components:

- the existing usage by all connections to the system;
- the total projected usage by any developments that have received preliminary or final approval from the Commission but have not yet hooked up to the system; and
- the projected usage by the proposed development currently undergoing testing for adequacy.

For the usage projections, the County uses the MDE standard which is to multiply the number of proposed residential units by 250 gpd. The resulting number, expressed in gpd, represents the amount of wastewater treatment capacity the proposed development would use if it was connected, i.e. the projected usage by the development. As with water service, the Bureau of Utilities monitors the status of all projects that would connect to a County sewer system, including those not subject to Chapter 156.

#### Freedom Water Supply

Freedom Water Plant	4.000 mgd
Fairhaven Well (off-line)	0.000 mgd
Raincliffe Well (off-line)	<u>0.000 mgd</u>
Total System Production Capacity (TSPC)	4.000 mgd

85% of TSPC 4.000 x .85 = 3.400 mgd 95% of TSPC 4.000 x .95 = 3.800 mgd

Chapter 156 states that maximum day demand is calculated by multiplying the annual average day demand for water by 1.75. For the purpose of determining the annual average day demand for water, the Bureau of Utilities reviews the annual average daily flows from the five preceding years and uses the five-year average or the preceding year, whichever is higher.

2022 Annual Average Day Demand	1.794 mgd
Five-Year Average Day Demand	1.877 mgd
Projected Annual Average Day Demand	1.923 mgd
Calculated Maximum Day Demand (1.75 x 1.877)	3.285 mgd
Calculated % of TSPC (3.285 ÷ 4.000)	82%

The projected maximum daily demand for the Freedom Water System is less than 85% of the total system production capacity. The service meets the adequate threshold standard.

#### Freedom Sewer

Design Capacity	3.500 mgd
Permitted Capacity	3.500 mgd
85% Permitted Flow $(3.50 \text{ x } .85) = 2.975 \text{ mgd}$	
95% Permitted Flow $(3.50 \text{ x } .95) = 3.325 \text{ mgd}$	
2020 Average Daily Flow 2021 Average Daily Flow 2022 Average Daily Flow Three-Year Average	2.090 mgd 2.136 mgd 2.032 mgd 2.086 mgd
Projected Annual Average Daily Flow	2.129 mgd

The standard for sewer in Chapter 156 is based on the projected annual average daily flow. For the purpose of determining the projected annual average daily flow for sewer, the higher of the three-year average or the preceding year is used. The County and state share the use of the wastewater treatment facility. Of the 3.5 mgd capacity, the County can allocate 2.74 mgd and the state can allocate 0.76 mgd.

Measuring the 2.129 mgd average daily flow with total capacity, the Freedom Sewer Plant is operating at 61% of total capacity.

### Hampstead Sewer

Design Capacity	0.900 mgd	
Permitted Capacity	0.900 mgd	
85% Permitted Flow $(0.9 \text{ x } .85) = .765 \text{ mgd}$		
95% Permitted Flow $(0.9 \text{ x } .95) = .855 \text{ mgd}$		
2020 Average Daily Flow 2021 Average Daily Flow 2022 Average Daily Flow	0.466 mgd 0.491 mgd 0.475 mgd	
Three-Year Average	0.477 mgd	
Projected Annual Average Daily Flow	0.542 mgd	

Measuring the 0.542 mgd average daily flow with total capacity, the Hampstead Sewer Plant is operating at 60% of total capacity.

#### Bark Hill Water

### Bark Hill Water Plant (TSPC)

Water Appropriation and Use Permit = 20,000 gpd daily average on yearly basis.

85% of TSPC  $20,000 \times .85 = 17,000 \text{ gpd}$ 

95% of TSPC 20,000 x.95 = 19,000 gpd

2022 Annual Average Day Demand	13,281 gpd
Five-Year Average Day Demand	13,871 gpd
Projected Annual Average Day Demand	13,871 gpd

Percent of Total Capacity 69%
Percent of Capacity Remaining 31%

### Pleasant Valley Water

Pleasant Valley Water Plant (TSPC)

Water Appropriation and Use Permit = 10,100 GPD daily average on yearly basis.

85% of TSPC  $10,100 \times .85 = 8,585$  gpd

95% of TSPC  $10,100 \times .95 = 9,595 \text{ gpd}$ 

2022 Annual Average Day Demand	4,800 gpd
Five-Year Average Day Demand	7,278 gpd
Projected Annual Average Day Demand	7,278 gpd
Percent of Total Capacity	73%
Percent of Capacity Remaining	27%

#### Pleasant Valley Sewer

State Discharge Permit = 19,000 gpd Annual Average

85% Permitted Flow (19,000 x .85) = 16,150 gpd

95% Permitted Flow (19,000 x . 95) = 18,050 gpd

2020 Average Daily Flow	4,721 gpd
2021 Average Daily Flow	4,556 gpd
2022 Average Daily Flow	4,132 gpd
Three-Year Average	4,470 gpd
Projected Annual Average Daily Flow	4,470 gpd

Percent of Remaining Capacity = 24%

# CHAPTER EIGHT AVAILABLE THRESHOLD CAPACITY RECOMMENDATIONS

The ATC is reviewed and adopted each year for the six-year planning cycle, and it is based on the yearly Concurrency Management Report. Facility capacity, level of service information, and adopted thresholds are balanced with fiscal considerations in setting the ATC. Growth is timed and phased through the setting of ATC limits to reduce the impact on the facility, which is inadequate, while providing other needed infrastructure.

#### **Recommended Capital Improvements** (§156.07(B))

**Schools:** Capital improvements are currently proposed. Building additions are the proposal being brought forth by Carroll County Public Schools (CCPS) to mitigate inadequacies. A feasibility study was contracted by CCPS, conducted, and completed in the Spring of 2023. The CCPS request for the County-share in the 6-year CIP for FY 2026–2030 is \$185,331,000. The FY25 Capital Budget request to the County is \$24,754,000. Included in the FY25 request are Planning Funds for engineering and design of building additions at Freedom Elementary School and Sykesville Middle School. Construction funding is allocated in FY26 and FY27. The BOE-Approved 2025 Capital Budget and 2026-2030 CIP Request may be viewed online at <a href="https://www.carrollk12.org/operation/facilities-management/planning">https://www.carrollk12.org/operation/facilities-management/planning</a>

Elementary Schools projected to be inadequate in the 6-year CIP period include Freedom Elementary School (FY24), Sandymount Elementary School (FY25), and Linton Springs Elementary School (FY27).

Middle Schools projected to be inadequate in the 6-year CIP period include Sykesville Middle School (FY28).

Developments in the plan review process in the Freedom Elementary School and the Sykesville Middle School area include:

- 9 major subdivisions, subject to Concurrency Management, totaling 207 lots
- 2 minor subdivisions, not subject to Concurrency Management, totaling 3 lots
- 2 major subdivisions in a municipality, not subject to Concurrency Management, totaling 47 lots
- 1 residential site plan in a municipality, not subject to Concurrency Management, totaling 14 units

There is 1 project in the development plan review process which was denied final approval in accordance with Chapter 156 and placed in a queue for retesting for adequate public facilities specific to schools. There are 2 plans in the development plan review process which are in the final plan review stage and will be denied by the Planning and Zoning Commission and placed in a queue for retesting unless, "a relief facility is planned in the six-year CIP to address the inadequacy." If there is a relief facility in the CIP, "the Planning Commission may approve the plan subject to a phasing plan for recordation or may defer the project and place the plan in a queue to be retested on an annual basis."

If the Board of Education request for CIP funding for school additions is approved, final plan approvals by the Planning and Zoning Commission may proceed in accordance with Chapter 156 and the Department recommends placing the projects in a queue and retesting as data becomes available. The construction completion date being within 6 months or a retesting for schools resulting in an adequate or approaching adequate rating will release the project from the queue for plat recordation.

**Roads:** No capital improvements are recommended.

**Fire and Emergency Medical Services:** The adopted CIP includes bridge CL240X and CL243 on McKinstrys Mill Road, bridge CL242X on Hawks Hill Road, CL269 on Babylon Road, and CL344 on Old Kays Mills Road.

**Police Services:** No capital improvements are recommended. The funding for officer positions occurs through the operating budget and not the capital budget.

Water and Sewer Services: No capital improvements are recommended.

### **Recommended Building Permit Caps** (§156.07(B))

**Schools:** No building permit cap is recommended.

**Roads:** No building caps are recommended.

Fire and Emergency Medical Services: No building caps are recommended.

**Police Services:** No building caps are recommended.

Water and Sewer Services: No building caps are recommended.

#### **Proposed Changes to the Boundaries of Impact Areas** (§156.07(B)(11))

**Schools:** No changes are recommended. The review of development proposals uses the enrollment districts for each school as established by the Carroll County Board of Education.

**Roads:** No changes are recommended.

**Fire and Emergency Medical Services:** No changes are recommended. The review of development proposals uses the first-due district as established by the fire/emergency medical stations.

**Police Services:** No changes are recommended.

Water and Sewer Services: No changes are recommended.

#### Proposed Changes to Existing or Adopted Threshold Standards (§156.07(B)(12))

**Schools:** No changes are recommended.

**Roads:** No changes are recommended.

**Fire and Emergency Medical Services:** No changes are recommended; however, CCVESA should continue discussion on recognizing the presence of automatic sprinklers, the utilization of staffing, paid personnel, and response time measurements.

**Police Services:** No changes are recommended.

Water and Sewer Services: No changes are recommended.

#### Proposed Changes in Concurrency Analysis Methodology (§156.07(B)(13))

**Schools:** No changes are recommended.

**Roads:** No changes are recommended.

Fire and Emergency Medical Services: No changes are recommended.

**Police Services:** No changes are recommended.

Water and Sewer Services: No changes are recommended.

## Proposed Amendments to Chapter 156 (§156.07(B)(14))

**Schools:** No changes are recommended.

**Roads:** No changes are recommended.

Fire and Emergency Medical Services: No changes are recommended.

**Police Services:** No changes are recommended.

Water and Sewer Services: No changes are recommended.

On January 16, 2024, the Carroll County Planning and Zoning Commission voted to forward the Concurrency Management Report to the Board of County Commissioners with a favorable recommendation and a discussion with Mr. Caine regarding school data, student population projections, and Board of Education proposal to address the inadequacy.